

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s  
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum  
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur**

---

**Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/178/2014**

Applicant : Late Narayan M. Palandurkar,  
Thr:- Shri Kishore Palandurkar,  
Timki Bazar, near Harbaji Maharaj  
Mandir,  
Nagpur.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,  
The Superintending Engineer,  
(Distribution Franchisee),  
MSEDCL, N.U.C.,  
NAGPUR.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,  
Chairman.  
  
2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar  
Member.  
  
3) Shri Anil Shrivastava,  
Member / Secretary.

**ORDER PASSED ON 22.9.2014.**

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 24.7.2014 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).

2. The applicant's case in brief is that the applicant is receiving excessive. He complained to SNDL but bill is not revised. He approached to I.G.R.C. but his application is rejected as per order

dated 19.7.2014. Being aggrieved by the said order he approached to this Forum.

3. Non applicant denied applicant's case by filing reply dated 8.8.2014. It is submitted that meter of the applicant is tested by acucheck on 24.8.2013 and it is found O.K. Again meter was tested by acucheck in presence of the applicant on 27.5.2014 and it found O.K. Hence application deserves to be dismissed.

4. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused the record.

5. We have carefully perused CPL of the applicant. Consumption pattern of the applicant for the year 2013 & 2014 appears to be similar. Therefore we find no substance in the contention of the applicant that now the applicant is receiving excessive bills. We have also carefully perused spot inspection report in which it is specifically mentioned that there are 4 rooms of the applicant. So far as connected load is concerned, there are 5 fans, 2 bulbs, 7 CFLs, 1 T.V., 1 set top box, 1 freeze, 1 motor pump, 1 induction cooker. Therefore there is sufficient connected load. Considering this much connected load it appears that consumption pattern is most justified and correct. Meter is tested two times and it is found O.K. In any month, there is no excessive reading. Therefore

there is no need to revise any bill. For these reasons we find no substance and no merits in the present grievance application and application deserves to be dismissed. Hence following order : -

**ORDER**

- 1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-  
(Anil Shrivastava)  
MEMBER  
SECRETARY

Sd/-  
(Adv. Subhash Jichkar)  
MEMBER

Sd/-  
(Shivajirao S. Patil),  
CHAIRMAN