

BEFORE THE CONSUMER GRIEVANCE REDRESAL FORUM  
M.S.E.D.C.L.,NAGPUR ZONE-RURAL,NAGPUR

Application / Case No. CGRF/NZ/Rural/14 of 2005

Applicant : Shri Wasudeo Natthuji Padwe,  
Sant Dnyaneshwar Ward, Behind Santoshi Mata  
Mandir, Hinganghat, Dist Wardha Pin 442301.

Non-applicants : 1. Executive Engineer/Nodal Officer,  
Internal Grievance Redressal Unit,  
Circle Office, Wardha.  
2. Executive Engineer, CCO&M Dn.,  
MSEDCL, Hinganghat.

Quorum : 1. Shri N.J.Ramteke, Chairman.  
2. Shri M.G.Deodhar, Member,

Appearance : 1. Shri W.N.Padwe, , Applicant.  
2. Shri D.K.Choudhary, Exe.Engineer/N.O.,  
3. Shri S.D.Rathod, E.E., Hinganghat  
4. Shri V.R.Sonkusare, Dy.E.E.,  
(Representatives of non-applicants.)

ORDER

(Passed this 21st Day of January, 2006)

(Per Shri N.J.Ramteke, CHAIRMAN)

This is an application in Schedule 'A' under the C.G.R.F. & O Regulations, 2003 (hereinafter called the Regulations). Applicant sought the relief from this Forum on the grounds that the non-applicants failed to comply with the order as passed by this Forum on 19-9-2005 in case No. CGRF/NZ/Rural/10/2005. Applicant alleged that the amount as ordered by the Forum in this case is not adjusted and he is being harassed by the non-applicants, asking him to make the payments, The Forum issued the acknowledgement, called the parawise comments of the non-applicants, issued the notices for hearing. Heard both the parties on 19-1-2006. Applicant was present in person. Shri D.K. Choudhary, executive Engineer/Nodal Officer, Shri Rathod, Executive Engineer, MSEDCL, Hinganghat and Shri Sonkusare, Dy.E.E. were present. The Forum heard both the parties.

At the time of hearing the non-applicants submitted a fresh bill dated 10-1-2006 issued to the Applicant Rs. (-) 5059.14. They have also made it clear under letter dated 22.12.2005 that the order of the forum could not be complied with within 45 days because the Clerk concerned was on leave. Now they have adjusted the amount as ordered by the Forum.

In view of above position the Forum come to the conclusion as under:

There is no provision for the review/revision of the order passed by the Forum. Applicant approached this Forum on the fresh ground, showing the default of the D.L. in light of the definition of the 'Grievance' as laid down under Regulation 3 of the Regulations. This default cannot be treated as a fresh ground for grievance because it is related to the order passed by this Forum on 19-9-2005 and moreover the D.L. has complied with the order though there is a delay. The D.L. has justified the reasons for the

delay . Since the cause of grievance has been removed by the D.L. , the question of any relief to Applicant does not arise?

In view of the position this application does not stand and, therefore, rejected. Parties to bear their own cost.

CHAIRMAN

MEMBER

CONSUMER GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL FORUM,NZ-RURAL

M.S.E.D.C.L.,NAGPUR

NO.CGRF/NZ/R/14 of 2006/ 12

Dt. 21/1/2006

Certified that this is true and correct copy of the above order.

MEMBER SECRETARY/EXE.ENGR.  
C.G.R.F.(NZ-R)MSEDCL,NAPERY

Copy to:

1. Shri W.N.Padwe, Hinganghat
2. The Chief Engineer(NZ) MSEDCL,Nagpur
3. The Exe.Engr/N.O., IGRU, Circle Office Wardha.
4. The Exe.Engineer,C.C.O&M Dn.,MSEDCL, Hinganghat.

-o0o-