



**Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum, Kalyan Zone**  
**Behind "Tejashree", Jahangir Meherwanji Road, Kalyan (West) 421301**  
**Ph- 2210707, Fax – 2210707, E-mail : cgrfkalyan@mahadiscom.in**

---

**IN THE MATTER OF GRIEVANCE NO. K/E/463/520 OF 2010-2011 OF**  
**M/S. KRISHNA GOPAL PILLAI, VASAI (EAST) REGISTERED WITH**  
**CONSUMER GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL FORUM KALYAN ZONE, KALYAN**  
**ABOUT EXCESSIVE BILLING.**

M/s. Krishna Gopal Pillai,  
Unit No. 01, Bldg. No. 03,  
Shivshankar Ind. Estate No. 01,  
Behind Burmah Shell Petrol Pump,  
Vasai (East), Dist. : Thane – 401 208

(Here-in-after  
referred  
as Consumer)

Versus

Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution  
Company Limited through its  
Dy. Executive Engineer  
Vasai Road (East) Sub-Dn.  
Vasai, Dist. Thane.

(Here-in-after  
referred  
as licensee)

- 1) Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum has been established under "Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Ombudsman) Regulation 2006" to redress the

grievances of consumers. This regulation has been made by the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission vide powers conferred on it by Section 181 read with sub-section 5 to 7 of section 42 of the Electricity Act, 2003. (36 of 2003).

- 2) The consumer is a L.T-V. consumer of the licensee with C. D. 80 KVA.. The Consumer is billed as per Industrial tariff. Consumer registered grievance with the Forum on 01/12/2010 for Excessive Energy Bills. The details are as follows: -

Name of the consumer :- M/s. Krishna Gopal Pillai.

Address: - As given in the title

Consumer No : - 1)001849032040 – 107 HP

2)001840869055 – 65 HP

Reason of dispute: Excessive Energy Bills.

- 3) The batch of papers containing above grievance was sent by Forum vide letter No EE/CGRF/Kalyan/799 dated 02/12/2010 to Nodal Officer of licensee. The licensee filed reply vide letter No. DYEE/VSI/(E)/10943, dated 29/12/2010.
- 4) The forum heard both the parties on 29/12/2010 @ 17.30 Hrs. in the meeting hall of the Forum's office. Shri Harshad Sheth, Shri Vinit Sheth representatives of the consumer & Shri M. S. Patil, Asstt. Acctt.. and Shri J. P. Keni Sub-Engineer, representatives of the licensee attended hearing. Minutes of the hearing including the submissions made by the parties are recorded and the same are kept in the record. Submissions made by each party in respect of each grievance shall be referred while deciding each of the grievances to avoid repetition.

- 5) The consumer has taken electricity connection from the Distribution Licensee (DL) to the industry situated at Shivshankar Ind. Estate No. 01, Sativali, Vasai (East) in the year 2005. It is averred while taking connection in 2005 licensee collected SD of Rs. 19,500/- and ASD Rs. 11,700/- but does not appear in the computer due to change over to Oracle System. It is contended on verifying F-1 register or any other evidence as per the directions of Hon. MERC licensee needs to refund the same and that consumer claimed the amount vide chart enclosed with interest but not refunded. It is further contended that licensee is supposed to refund the RLC amount from July 2008 onwards. RLC amount of Rs. 62,533/- paid for the single phase connection since it is merged in three phase meter, collected RLC is required to be refunded but not refunded so far with interest vide chart enclosed. According to consumer in the bill of June 2010 P.F. was charged wrongly, KVAH reading for May and June 2010 was taken showing PF 0.49 therefore penalty as above Rs. 20,808.96 vide chart enclosed needs to be refunded. Consumer by letter dt. 06/09/10 claimed the amounts as above but the licensee did not respond. Consumer moved the I.G.R. Cell but in vain, hence the instant grievance application to direct the licensee to refund the amount of S.D., ASD, RLC and P. F. penalty vide charts enclosed with interest.
- 6) Licensee filed reply dt. 29/12/10 contending that amount of SD and ASD with interest is being refunded. So far refund of RLC and P. F. penalty is also being refunded with interest.
- 7) So far refund of SD and ASD in the event of zero display, according to the learned representative for the consumer verifying the F-1 register and Firm

Quotation, amount is to be refunded as per the directions of Hon. MERC in case No. 93 of 2008. This Forum in many cases including case No. 396 of 2010 filed by representative Shri Harshad Sheth, referring the order of Hon. MERC as above clearly pointed out on payment of SD/ASD. In this context the licensee is directed verifying F-1 register, F.Q. or any other evidence in the light of the directions given by Hon. MERC to refund if not paid earlier, with R.B.I. rate of interest.

- 8) So far refund of RLC consumer pointed out that this amount was paid by the consumer to the licensee as loan in the difficult time of licensee during December 2003 to July 2006 @ 50 paise per unit monthly consumption. Hon. MERC in case No. 72 of 2006 clearly depicted methodology as regards refund of RLC. When the amount running in thousands i.e. Rs. 62,533/- was given in difficult days, licensee is under obligation to refund the same as per the directions of Hon. MERC and Ombudsman and not as per the sweet will of the officials of the licensee. This Forum in many cases including case No. 393 and 436 of 2010 filed by representative Shri Harshad Sheth in detail pointed out on this aspect.
- 9) So far PF penalty this Forum in many cases including case No. 396 and 428 of 2010 filed by the learned representative Shri Harshad Sheth referring the order of Hon. MERC in case No. 116 of 2008 clearly pointed out as regards formula of calculation. Needless to say licensee has to calculate the P.F. penalty on month to month basis. If the P.F. comes below than 0.9 penalty may be imposed, if it goes above 0.9 then incentive has to be given to the consumer as per the directives of Hon. MERC in the case as above. According to consumer in the month of June 2010 contrary

to the formula as approved by the Hon. MERC power factor penalty Rs. 20,808.96 wrongly collected. Based on the MRI data in the light of the guidelines given by Hon. MERC as above and the discussion supra, licensee is again directed to work out P. F. penalty on application of mind to inspire confidence among the consumers that all these efforts are for attainment of justice and not eclipse of justice and to refund the PF penalty if recovered excess, with interest to the consumer. Consequently grievance application will have to be partly allowed.

- 10) While parting with the matter with regret we are constrain to mention that this Forum in many cases filed by the learned representative for the consumer Shri Harshad Sheth on same issues referring relevant orders passed by the Hon. MERC and Ombudsman had given clear directions to the licensee, however it is unfortunate consumer had to knock the doors of this Forum repeating the same grievance. We hope officials of the licensee would honor the orders passed by the Competent Authority so that the very purpose of enacting the act for consumers as well as the smooth functioning of the licensee would fulfill. Consumer is also cautioned that being a customer and the licensee being the custodian of the records to keep rapport so as to smoothen the transactions. It appears instead approaching the licensee consumer sitting at one place seeking help, need to be avoided. Hence the order :

**O-R-D-E-R**

- 1) The grievance application is partly allowed.
- 2) Licensee is directed to refund the amount of SD and ASD with R.B.I. rate of interest to the consumer as per the directions given by Hon. MERC in case No. 93 of 08 dated 01/09/2010 within 45 days and compliance should be

reported to the forum within 60 days from the date of receipt of this decision.

- 3) Licensee is further directed to work out the amount of RLC and Power Factor Penalty as per the directions of Hon. MERC in case No. 72 of 2007, 116 of 08 and Ombudsman in case No. 39 of 2006 and if collected excess, to refund the same with interest within 45 days and compliance should be reported to the forum within 60 days from the date of receipt of this decision.
- 4) The Consumer can file representation against this decision with the Hon. Electricity Ombudsman within 60 days from the date of this order at the following address.

*“Office of the Electricity Ombudsman, Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission, 606/608, Keshav Bldg, Bandra Kurla Complex, Mumbai 51”.*

- 5) Consumer, as per section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003, can approach Hon. Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission for non-compliance, part compliance or delay in compliance of this decision issued under “Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Ombudsman) Regulation 2003” at the following address:-

*“Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission, 13th floor, World Trade Center, Cuffe Parade, Colaba, Mumbai 05”*

Date : 04/01/2011

(Mrs. S.A. Jamdar)  
Member  
CGRF Kalyan

(R.V. Shivdas)  
Member Secretary  
CGRF Kalyan

(S.N. Saundankar)  
Chairperson  
CGRF Kalyan