Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd. Bhandup Urban Zone, Bhandup

Ref. No. Member Secretary/MSEDCL/CGRF/BNDUZ/ Date:

Case No.496

Hearing Dt. 29/05/2013.

In the matter of Notice of disconnection when the matter is subjudise.

M/s.Maestros Mediline System Ltd. Vs.

Applicant

. .

M.S.E.D.C.L, Vashi Circle

Respondent

Present on behalf

- A] On behalf of CGRF, Bhandup
- 1) Shri. S.K. Choudhary, Chairman, CGRF, Bhandup.
- 2) Shri. R.M Chavan, Member Secretary, CGRF, Bhandup.
- 3) Dr. Smt. Sabnis, Member, CGRF, Bhandup.
- B] On behalf of Applicant
- 1) Mr.Suraj Chacraborty. –Consumer Representative
- C] On behalf of Respondent
- 1) Shri. Kachre Ex. Engr., Vashi Circle.

<u>ORDER</u>

M/s. Maestros Mediline System Ltd.is a High Tension Industrial Consumer having service no.000119024810 at EL-63/64,TTC Industrial Area, Electronic zone Mahape. According to the submission of Complainant, the Respondents have inspected the premises and decided that the use of electricity for the activity other than sanctioned and hence issued the supplementary bill under section 126 of Electricity Act 2003 for the period of Sept 2011 to May 2012. However the Consumer has Paid 50% of the assessed bill for an amount of Rs.13,42,000 on dt.18/09/2012 and filed an appeal to the Chief Engineer PWD (Electrical) as per the section 127 of E.A.2003.

496 cgrf 2013 Page 1

The matter was heard before the Appellate Authority and following interim Order was passed vide case No.200/2012.

- 1] The Supply of Consumer should not be disconnected for the disputed bill against the final assessment Order.
- 2] Disputed amount should be shown separately and delay Payment /Penalty charges should not be levied against disputed amount.
- 3] Consumer should Pay regular current monthly bill for which no relaxation will be given.

The documents on record submitted by the complainant reveals that the Respondents have issued notice of disconnection to the consumer inclusive of disputed amount for which the Chief Engineer PWD (Electrical) has restrained from.

During the Proceeding the Respondent agreed to withdraw the notice of disconnection and issue the fresh Corrected bill excluding the disputed amount.

ORDER.

As the matter is subjudised before the Appellate Authority, MERC (CGRF and Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations 2006 there in Regulation 6.7(d) does not allow the forum to the entertain, Hence the case is disposed off without intervention.

No order as to cost.

Both the parties be informed accordingly.

The order is issued under the seal of consumer Grievance Redressal Forum M.S.E.D.C. Ltd., Bhandup Urban Zone, Bhandup on.12.06.2013.

Note: 1) If Consumer is not satisfied with the decision, he may have representation within 60 days from date of receipt of this order to the Electricity Ombudsman in attached "Form B".

Address of the Ombudsman
The Electricity Ombudsman,
Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission,
606, Keshav Building,
Bandra - Kurla Complex, Bandra (E),
Mumbai - 400 051.

496 cgrf 2013 Page 2

2) If utility is not satisfied with order, it may go in writ before the Hon. High Court within 60 days from receipt of the order.

DR. ARCHANA SABNIS MEMBER CGRF, BHANDUP S. K. CHOUDHARY CHAIRMAN CGRF, BHANDUP R.M. CHAVAN MEMBER SECRETARY CGRF, BHANDUP

496 cgrf 2013 Page 3