

#### (A Govt. of Maharashtra Undertaking) CIN : U40109MH2005SGC153645

PHONE NO. : 25664314/25664316 FAX NO. 26470953 Email: cgrfbhandupz@mahadiscom.in Website: www.mahadiscom.in

#### Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum "Vidyut Bhavan", Gr. Floor, L.B.S.Marg,Bhandup (W), Mumbai – 400078.

Date: 7.12.2017

REF.NO. Member Secretary/CGRF/MSEDCL/BNDUZ/ 45/240

Case No. 45/2017

Hearing Dt.05/12/2017

# In the matter of application of wrong tariff and refund of excess tariff recovered in

### <u>bill</u>

M/s. M. J Hospital Pvt Ltd

Plot No 51, Sector 16 Vashi

Navi Mumbai

V/s

Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd. (MSEDCL)

Vashi Sub Division

- Respondent

(Consumer)

## Present during the hearing

- A On behalf of CGRF, Bhandup
- 1) Shri. Anil P. Bhavthankar, Chairperson, CGRF, Bhandup.
- 2) Shri. R.S.Avhad, Member Secretary, CGRF, Bhandup.
- **B** On behalf of Appellant

Mr. Suraj Chakrabourty - Consumer Representative

## **C** - On behalf of Respondent

Shri. R.S. Rathod, Addl. Executive Engineer, Vashi Sub Division.

## Consumer Numbers 000488025597

- Above named consumer filed this complaint against the respondent utility office stating that the said premises is used for the purpose of hospital since 20.04.1994 and he regularly paying the bill without any default and the consumer receiving the regular bill there was no complaint error in meter reported and the bill was consistently paid by consumer.
- It is submitted by the consumer representative of respondent use to visit monthly and inspected the record for the purpose of recording actual meter reading which is raised in the bill for electricity consumption months to months.

- It is submitted that the premises occupied by the government registered dispensary/ Pathology lab appellate to doctor of association of Mumbai there having registration and the permission from authorized government. According to consumer the date of connection 20.04.1994. It is submitted that by consumer from the date of connection appropriate tariff should have been applied by respondent utility as per MERC guideline and commercial circular by respondent utility MSEDCL the appropriate is in concessional tariff under the category of public services LT(X) but since 01.08.2012 respondent utility not charge the bill as per appropriate tariff as per public services those it is mention in office circular 175 the action of chagrin wrong tariff contrary to the circular and that in law . Consumer submitted that the bill which is paid as per LT II commercial to the premises charge by the respondent utility till August 2017 excess amount is recovered from consumer. Therefore consumer approach to the respondent utility and filed application on 05.08.2017 instated of charging the tariff under commercial from June 2015 till date but no response make to the said letter and therefore except recovery bill charging commercial tariff recovered and paid by the consumer needs to be refunded.
- It is submitted that the said refund is applicable from date of enforcement of order tariff 2012 towards public service utility instated of commercial tariff which was made applicable is wrong and improper therefore consumer pray for refund of the said amount with interest. Consumers relied on the MERC guidelines tariff order 2008 and 2012 and pray for taking action under section 142 and 146 of I. E.A. 2003for non compliance of commission order. Consumer prays for appropriate tariff form August 2012 and refund of excess paid bill amount with interest of 12% per years under section 62(6) of I.E.A. Initially the consumer approach to IGRC and made the grievance on 04/09/2017 .IGRC not decided matter within 60 days from date of application
- Being dissatisfied with IGRC not orders within 60days this consumer approach to the Forum and filed this grievance. After file the said grievance notice was issued to the respondent utility. After service of notice respondent utility appeared and filed reply on 05.12.2017. It is submitted by respondent utility that M/s. J Hospital

Pvt Ltd is the consumer having consumer no.000488025597 filed representation regarding change of tariff with retrospective effect. The date of application as mention the said connection was earlier given for commercial purpose by respondent utility MSEDCL and the date of power supply mention 30.03.1987. It is further submitted by respondent utility as per circular 175 dated 16.08.2012 referred in the case of 19/2012 new tariff category LT X public service came into force 0 to 20KW and 20 to 50 KW category. The tariff category to hospital and dispensary centre pathology lab amount other various public services the category was subsequently change from LT II B to LTX(B) as per application of consumer dated 05.08.2017. It is submitted that the assistant Engineer of subdivision inspected the premises on 07.09.2017 and confirm the activity situated in the premises is Hospital only. Hence the tariff changes from LT II B to LT X (B) from billing month August 2017 onwards. It is further submitted by respondent utility that on hearing dated 05.12.2017 the complaint application of consumer was allowed and respondent utility applied proper tariff as per order from the date of application of consumer even the action was taken in view of the order for adjustment of excess bill paid by the consumer from retrospective effect and the tariff difference from the date of application is calculated. It is submitted by respondent utility that the refund from the date of August 2012 not applicable to the consumer .Hence consumer complaint liable to be dismiss with cost. Respondent utility attach copy of inspection note dated 07.09.2017, copy of certificate of Mumbai university.

I have perused all the document filed by consumer and the respondent utility. After perusing the entire document and the nature of dispute considered following point arose for our consideration to which I have recorded my finding to the point for the reason given below

- a) Whether consumer is entitled for application of change of tariff form LT II B to LTX (B) since august 2012.
- b) Whether consumer is entitled for refund of excess tariff difference amount recovered by utility with interest of 12 %.
- c) What order?

#### **Reasoning**

- I have given opportunity to the consumer and Representative Mr. Suraj Chakrabourty appeared before the Forum on date of hearing. It appears that wrong tariff charge against the consumer LT II commercial on the basis of earlier connection which was acquired under the category of LT II commercial. The consumer himself submitted that he was regularly paying the bill and there was no complaint. The complaint first time made by the consumer on 05.08.2017 and informs to the utility change of tariff category Therefore on application is made following all due procedure and attach the document registration certificate issued to the consumer by competent authority Navi Mumbai Municipal Corporation. Respondent utility relied on spot inspection report dated 07.09.2017 and activity of public service falls under hospital category is confirm and after receiving the said report in subsequent bill the tariff category was change accordingly. The dispute raised by the consumer in which claim the application of appropriate tariff August 2012 as per circular No.175 commercial circular issued since by competent authority referred in case No. 19/2012.
- After perusing the reply of respondent utility the effect which was give from the date of application of consumer appears to be wrong and incorrect as it is duty of respondent utility official to visit the premises and verify the activities by periodically inspection as per guidelines of MERC but no action properly taken by utility. To my view for the no action taken by respondent utility consumer should not suffer. On minute consideration given to the claim of consumer to appropriate tariff since August 2012 at claim and pray for the refund cannot be awarded as new tariff made applicable from 01.06.2015 and private hospital category was introduced for application of LT X (B) tariff. Therefore I am inclined to give the benefit to the consumer from effect form new tariff order dated 01.6.2015 and respondent utility directed to calculate to said difference of tariff amount accordingly. Instead of giving refund of the said amount the respondent utility at said liberty to adjust the amount in future bill in equal monthly instalment till the

amount is refunded. It may be shown and reflected in future bill. Hence I am inclined to allow the claim of consumer and proceed to pass following order.

#### **ORDER**

- The consumer complaint 45/2017 is allowed.
- The respondent utility directed to change the category LT II B into LTX (B) public services from 01.06.2015.
- The difference of excess bill amount recovered of tariff difference shall be adjusted in future bill. Rest of the prayer made by the consumer cannot survive.

Both the parties should be informed accordingly.

Proceeding closed.

The compliance should be reported within 30 days.

The order is issued under the seal of Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum M.S.E.D.C. Ltd., Bhandup Urban Zone, and Bhandup.

Note:

1) If Consumer is not satisfied with the decision, he may file representation within 60 days from the date of receipt of this order to the Electricity Ombudsman in attached "Form B".

#### Address of the Ombudsman

#### The Electricity Ombudsman,

#### Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission,

#### 606, Keshav Building Bandra - Kurla Complex, Bandra (E),

#### Mumbai - 400 051

2) If utility is not satisfied with order, it may file representation before the Hon. High Court within 60 days from receipt of the order.

(I Agree/Disagree)

ANIL P. BHAVTHANKAR CHAIRPERSON CGRF, BHANDUP RAVINDRA S. AVHAD MEMBER SECRETARY CGRF, BHANDUP