## BEFORE THE CONSUMER GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL FORUM AURANGABAD ZONE AURANGABAD

### Case No. CGRF/AZ/AUR/U/390/2012/23

Date of Filing	15.05.2012
Date of Decision	05.06.2012
Shri Subhash Vithalrao Joshi,	
House No.4-2-45, Kelibazar,	
Aurangabad	Complainant
V/s	
01) The Executive Engineer(Admn.)	Respondent No.1
Nodal Office, O/O Superintending Engineer,	
Urban Circle, M.S.E.D.C.L.,	
Aurangabad.	

02) The Dy.General Manager(Planning), Respondent No.2 GTL Ltd. Vithalachi Daya, 1<sup>st</sup> floor Above YZ Ford Car Showroom, Cannaught Place, CIDCO, Aurangabad.

### <u>CORAM</u>

Shri V.B.Mantri

Chairperson

Shri V.S.Kabra

Member

#### JUDGEMENT

- 01) The grievance of the complainant is against the bill of Rs.5120 dated 22.10.201 issued against the consumer No.4900910152094 and secondly for non release of new commercial connection as against his application dated 21.10.2011.
- 02) The case of the complainant in brief is that, he has filed his application on 21.10.2011 for new commercial connection to his shop owned and possessed by him. The connection is not released by respondents as yet under pretext that the bill of Rs.5120=00 as against the consumer No.490010152094 is pending. The respondents asked the complainant to pay such bill for release of new connection.

- 03) It is the case of the complainant, that he has no concern with the said consumer and the bill. The complainant is not liable to pay the said bill. The said bill is not binding on the complainant for release of new commercial connection.
- 04) The Nodal Officer MSEDCL has submitted reply to the complainant and thereby submitted that, the old meter and connection to which bill of Rs.5118=70 paisa is pending is on the same premises to which new connection is asked for. The complainant is required to clear off the arrears of former pending bill for release of new connection.
- 05) This Forum heard the submissions of representative of the complainant and Nodal Officer for respondents.
- 06) The complainant himself did not come before this Forum. He submitted his grievance through representative. No reasons put forth for submitting the grievance through representative.
- 07) The complainant did not mention the particulars of the premises to which new connection is required. He did not mention if any connection to such premises was ever formerly taken or not.
- 08) The complainant disputed the bill of Rs.5120/- issued against the consumer No.490010152094 dated 22.10.2011. It is not stated whether such connection w as to the same premises or it was to another premises. Admittedly the said bill is unpaid.
- 09) The complainant has submitted that, he has no concern with the said bill. The said bill is not binding upon the complainant but failed to explain as to why for such bill is not binding upon him.
- 10) It is the case of the respondents that, the electricity connection to which the said disputed bill is concern, was to the same premises to which the complainant is asking for new connection. The complainant is not specifically denying this fact.
- 11) The respondent has produced copy of CPL. As per such copy the connection was released on the premises situated at Nagarkhana Galli in the name of **Sawalaram L. Joshi**. The bill of Rs.5120/- is due against the said connection.
- 12) The IGR Cell recorded finding that the premises on which new connection is asking for is the same on which bill of Rs.5120/- is due. The said, fact is not disputed by the complainant. This Forum therefore agree with the decision of IGR Cell to the effect that, the complainant is required to clear

of the arrears of pending bills on the premises to which new connections is sought for. This Forum therefore find no genuine and bonafide grievance which could be redressed by this Forum. Ultimately the complaint is required to be dismissed. This Forum therefore proceed to pass following order.

# **ORDER**

01)	The complaint is hereby dismissed.
02)	No order as to costs or compensation.

Sd/-(V.S.Kabra) Member Sd/-(V.B.Mantri) Chairperson