

---

**REF.NO. Member Secretary/CGRF/MSEDCL/BNDUZ/ 209/0024      Date: 30.04.2019**

**Hearing Date: 04.12.2018**

**CASE NO. 209/2018**

**IN THE MATTER OF INTEREST ON SECURITY DEPOSITE AND TDS  
CERTIFICATE**

M/s. Prashant S. Talwalkar,  
Talwalkar fitness CNR,  
Rajmahal Ravi compound,  
Punchpakhadi, Thane,  
Mumbai-400602.      ..... (Hereinafter referred as applicant)

Versus

Maharashtra state Electricity Distribution Company Ltd  
Through it's Nodal Officer,  
Thane Circle, Thane  
..... (Herein after referred as Respondent)

Appearance:-

For Consumer – Shri. Hemant Hatkar

For Licensee:- Shri, A.R.Rathod Additional Executive Engineer,  
Gadkari Sub-division

[Coram- Dr. Satishkumar Jaiswal - Chairperson, Shri. R.S.Avhad -Member Secretary and Sharmila Ranade - Member (CPO)].

1. Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission, is, constituted u/s. 82 of Electricity Act 2003 (36/2003). Hereinafter for the sake of brevity referred as 'MERC'. This Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum has been

established as per the notification issued by MERC i.e. "Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Ombudsman) Regulation 2006" to redress the grievances of consumers vide powers conferred on it by Section 181 read with subsection 5 to 7 of section 42 of the Electricity Act, (36/2003). Hereinafter it is referred as 'Regulation'. Further the regulation has been made by MERC i.e. Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission. [Electricity Supply Code and other conditions of supply Regulations 2005] Here in after referred as 'Supply Code' for the sake of brevity. Even, regulation has been made by MERC i.e. 'Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Standards of Performance of Distribution Licensees, Period for Giving Supply & Determination of Compensation) Regulations, 2014.' Hereinafter referred 'SOP' for the sake of convenience.

2. Being aggrieved with order if IGRC Thane the applicant filed his grievance is schedule 'A' to this Forum .The appellant herein is M/s. Prashant Talwalkar bearing consumer No. 000019022400. Grievance rose of interest on security deposit and T.D.S. Certificate not issue as per provision of income Tax Act 1961. The Applicant prayed for interest in security deposit, TDS certificate and appropriate action on defaulter staff of Mahavitarn.

3. The Notice issued to the Respondent to submit para wise reply and to appear before Forum. The Respondent submit reply states that the applicant has applied for refund of interest on security deposit and accordingly interest on security deposit already given . Also refund of interest on security deposit of Rs 10657/-, 19902/- & 18589/-for the period 2015-2016,2016-2017 and 2017-2018 is already given to consumer.

4. The Respondent further submits that letter regarding issuing TDS certificate already forwarded to competent authority i.e Executive Engineer Thane. During the hearing, the Respondent referred the Regulation no 6.6 of MERC (CGRF and Ombudsman) regulation "The Forum shall not admit any Grievance unless it is filed within two (2) years from the date on which the cause of action has arisen." The Respondent further submit that as per regulation 6.6 The Forum is empowered to entertained the Grievance which is filled within 2 years from the cause of action and as the 2 years interest on SD has been already credited to consumer there is nothing pending with said grievance .

5. The Appellant firstly approached IGRC Thane , passed order No.004310dtd.5 Oct 2018 with following decision per regulation 6.6 of MERC(CGRF and Ombudsman) regulation , the IGRC is empowered to entertained the Grievance which is filled within 2 years from the date of cause of action .In present case , the Respondent already given the interest on SD for the last two years ,which is within limitation The prayed for earlier period prior two years regarding grant of interests is clearly time barred, Hence it is here by rejected . Executive Engineer is hereby directed to issue TDS certificate to consumer for the said period.

6. We have heard both sides. I have gone through documentary evidence submitted by parties and their argument, MERC (CGRF and Ombudsman) regulation 2005, Electricity act 2003. I have made my observation as Section 42 (5) mandates the petitioner to establish a Forum within 6 months from the appointed date or the date of grant of license, whichever is earlier, for the redressal of the grievances of the consumers. Section 42(6) enables a consumer to approach the

Ombudsman if he is aggrieved by the decision of the Forum. Regulation 2(2.1) (d) defines the "Cell" as "Internal Grievance Redressal Cell" or "IGR Cell" means such first authority to be contacted by the consumer for redressal of his/her Grievance as notified by the Distribution Licensee."

Regulation 2(2.1) (e) defines a "Forum" as under:

"Forum" means the forum for redressal of grievances of consumers required to be established by Distribution Licensees pursuant to sub-section (5) of section 42 of the Act and these Regulations."

Regulation 6.6 "The Forum shall not admit any Grievance unless it is filed within two (2) years from the date on which the cause of action has arisen.

In the above backdrop, it appears that a consumer may have the option of approaching the Cell before approaching the Forum. However, the language used in Regulation 6.7(a) indicates that the Forum 'SHALL NOT' entertain a grievance unless the consumer has complied with the procedure under Regulation 6.2. It was, however, concluded in paragraph No.16 that, "Internal Consumer Grievances Cell" is not the Forum for redressal of the grievances of the consumer as contemplated by Section 42(5) of the Act but the C.G.R.F. is the said Forum established under sub section 5 of Section 42. The Regulation 6.6 uses the word "Forum" which obviously means C.G.R.F. and not the I.G.R.Cell of the Distribution.

7. In this case, the respondent already given interest on security deposit for year 2013-2014 to 2017-2018 of Rs 12883.12/-,13270/-,11949.80/- ,16034.42/- & 14120.05/- respectively. Further IGRC already ordered to issue the TDS certificate and there is no reason to interfere in the order of IGRC and Non compliance of order attract action under section 142 of Electricity act 2003 Hence, I proceed to pass following order.

#### **ORDER**

The application no 209/2018 hereby dismissed.

No order as to cost.

Both the parties should be informed accordingly.

**I Agree/Disagree**

**I Agree/Disagree**

**MRS. SHARMILA RANADE,  
MEMBER  
CGRF, BHANDUP**

**Dr. SANTOSHKUMAR JAISWAL  
CHAIRPERSON  
CGRF, BHANDUP**

**RAVINDRA S. AVHAD  
MEMBER SECRETARY  
CGRF, BHANDUP**

The order is issued under the seal of Consumer Grievance Redresses Forum M.S.E.D.C. Ltd., Bhandup Urban Zone, and Bhandup.

**Note:**

- a) The consumer if not satisfied, may file representation against this order before the Hon. Ombudsman within 60 days from the date of this order at the following address. " Office of the Electricity Ombudsman, Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission, 606, Keshav Building, Bandra - Kurla Complex, Bandra (E), Mumbai - 400 051"
- b) b) consumer, as per section 142 of the Electricity Act, 2003, can approach Hon'ble Maharashtra electricity Regulatory Commission for non- compliance, part compliance or
- c) Delay in compliance of this decision issued under" Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission ( consumer Redressed Forum and Ombudsman) Regulation 2003" at the following address:-

**"Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission, 13<sup>th</sup> floor, world Trade Center, Cuffe Parade, Colaba, Mumbai 05"**

- d) It is hereby informed that if you have filed any original documents or important papers you have to take it back after 90 days. Those will not be available after three years as per MERC Regulations and those will be destroyed.