

**Before Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Limited  
Consumer Grievances Redressal Forum, Pune Zone,  
925, Kasabapeth Building, IInd flr. Pune-11**

**Case No. 12/2012**

**Date: 22/05/2012**

**In the matter of  
Shri. B.D.Jana**

**- Complainant**

**V/S**

**M.S.E.D.C.L. Padmavati Division**

**- Opponent**

**Quorum**

Chair Person	Shri.S.D.Madake
Member/Secretary,	Shri.L.G.Sagajkar
Member	Shri.Suryakant Pathak

- 1) The complaint is filed by Shri.Balaji Dnyanoba Jana R/o. of 625 Mahatmaphule Peth, near Dakshinmukhi Mandir Pune-42 to the forum against the order of Internal Grievance Redressal Cell, Pune (IGRC) consumer is having single phase commercial connection with Con.No.170018327301 of Fire Brigade S/Dn.
- 2) The main grievance is quashing of differential bill of Rs. 53,590/-
- 3) The respondent in their say submitted that checking squad of fire brigade sub division in Dec-2011 inspected the consumer and observed commercial use. On the next day Mr. Jana approached to MSEDCL office and submitted receipt of firm quotation paid in Dec-2000 for commercial use and requested to cancel the action under Sec.No.126 of Indian Electricity Act-2003 against him. Also submitted that firm quotation for commercial purpose was paid on 04/12/2000 but new test report and other relevant documents are

not submitted by the complainant. Therefore the bill for commercial tariff was not issued. After detection by checking squad bill was issued as difference of plane recovery from Dec-2010 for Rs. 53,590/-

- 4) On the date of hearing Mr. Jana contended that he made complainant of his tenant regarding unauthorized use as he is receiving the bill of residential use but actually the shop was given on rent from 1968 . He has given bill for two years under section 126. But even though he paid firm quotation from Dec-2000 he has given bill for 11 years. As per section 56 (2) of Indian Electricity Act-2003 differential bill shall be given for two years only. On behalf of respondent Shri. Kulkarni Asstt. Engineer, stated that the differential bill issued is correct. Complainant has not submitted relevant paper at the time of payment of firm quotation hence the bill has not been given as per commercial tariff
- 5) Complainant also submitted letter regarding amicable settlement with Padmavati division and requested that after discussion agreement he is withdrawing the case from Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum (CGRF) The report regarding settlement and consumer application is received on dt.18/05/2012 Hence following order.

### **ORDER**

The case is withdrawn as amicably settlement between parties.

L.G.Sagajkar,  
Member/Secretary

Suryakant Pathak  
Member

S.D.Madake  
Chair Person

Date: 22/05/2012

