## Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum Nagpur Zone, Nagpur Case No. CGRF(NZ)01/2017

Applicant	: Smt. Sheikh N.Bano 1563/A.1665, Azad Nagar Nagpur-26.

## Non-applicant : Nodal Officer, The Superintending Engineer, (D/F.) NUC,MSEDCL, NAGPUR.

Applicant :- In person.

- Respondent by 1) Shri Vairagade, EE, Nodal Office
  - 2) Shri Tekam, Nodal Office.
  - 3) Shri Dahasahastra, SNDL Nagpur.

<u>Quorum Present</u> : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil, Chairman.

> 2) Shri N.V.Bansod Member

3) Mrs. V.N.Parihar, Member, Secretary

## ORDER PASSED ON 23.01.2017.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 02.01.2016 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as said Regulations).

2. Applicant's case in brief is that she is a tenant of the premises. She applied

for new residential connection but SNDL directed her to pay P.D. arrears of

Rs.64093/- of the previous owner therefore connection should be given to her.

3. Non applicant, denied applicant's case by filing reply dated 21.01.2017. It is submitted that there are P.D. arrears of previous owner Shri Ismail Sheikh Ibrahim Qureshi amounting to Rs.64093/-. Learned IGRC ordered to pay arrears of 6 months according to Regulation 10.5 of MERC's SOP Regulation 2005 amounting to Rs.29500/-. If applicant paid these arrears connection will be given to her.

4. Forum heard arguments of both the side and perused record.

5. According to proviso of Regulation 10.5 of MERC's (Electricity Supply code and other Conditions of Supply) Regulations, 2005 it is provided as under;

"Provided that, except in the case of transfer of connection to a legal heir, the liabilities transferred under this Regulation 10.5 shall be restricted to a maximum period of six months of the unpaid charges for electricity supplied to such premises".

6. Applicant is not legal heir therefore he is liable to pay only 6 months current bill prior to month of disconnection. As per CPL such amount comes to Rs.29500/and applicant is liable it before getting new electricity connection.

7. Therefore order passed by learned IGRC is legal and proper and needs no interference. Grievance application deserves to be dismiss.

8. Hence the following order.

## ORDER

Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-

sd/-

sd/-

(N.V.Bansod)	(Mrs.V.N.Parihar) (S	Shi
MEMBER	MEMBER/SECRETARY	С

vajirao S. Patil), HAIRMAN Case No.01/2017

page 2 of 2