

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s  
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum  
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur**

---

**Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/31/2013**

Applicant : Shri Sanjay C.Chopda,  
Plot No. 27-B, Red Cross Road,  
Gandhi Chouk, Sadar,  
NAGPUR: 440 001.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,  
The Superintending Engineer,  
(Distribution Franchisee),  
MSEDCL,  
NAGPUR.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri. Shivajirao S. Patil  
Chairman,  
  
2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar,  
Member,  
  
3) Smt. Kavita K. Gharat  
Member Secretary.

**ORDER PASSED ON 12.4.2013.**

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 21.2.2013 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).

2. The applicant's case in brief is that his bills for the month of June 2012 to September 2012 are excessive. Therefore, it is necessary to revise the bills. The applicant filed application to I.G.R.C. but Learned I.G.R.C. rejected it as per order dated 28.1.2013 and therefore this application.

3. Non applicant SPANCO denied applicant's case by filing reply Dt. 8.3.2013. It is submitted that meter was checked by Acucheck and it is found correct. Bills issued are the bills as per consumption recorded by the meter. The application may be dismissed.

4. Forum heard the arguments of both the sides and perused the record.

5. On 12.3.2013, it was ordered by the Forum that meter be tested in the lab in presence of the applicant and Member / Secretary of the Forum and to submit report. Accordingly meter of the applicant was tested. Dy. Executive Engineer (Testing), Nagpur filed report Dt. 5.4.2013 on record to the effect that meter is O.K. Therefore it is clear that bills issued by non applicant are bills of actual consumption utilized by the applicant and therefore there is no need to revise the same.

6. Learned I.G.R.C. passed well reasoned legal order Dt. 28.1.2013 and it needs no interference.

7. We find no substance and no merits in the present grievance application and application deserves to be dismissed. Hence following order :-

### ORDER

- 1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-  
(Smt.K.K.Gharat)  
MEMBER  
SECRETARY

Sd/-  
(Adv.Subhash Jichkar)  
MEMBER

Sd/-  
(ShriShivajirao S.Patil)  
CHAIRMAN