Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/33/2013

Applicant : Shri Shuddhodhan S. Jamgade,

Thr:- Shri Devman S. Jamgade,

Shilanagar, Gittikhadan, Katol Road,

Behind Lekha Apartments,

NAGPUR.

Non-applicant: Nodal Officer,

The Superintending Engineer,

(Distribution Franchisee),

MSEDCL, NAGPUR.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri. Shivajirao S. Patil

Chairman,

2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar,

Member,

3) Smt. Kavita K. Gharat

Member Secretary.

ORDER PASSED ON 23.4.2013.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 25.2.2013 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).

Page 1 of 3 Case No. 33/13

- 2. The applicant's case in brief is that meter of the applicant is running fast and therefore SPANCO issued excessive bills. Meter was tested in the laboratory of SPANCO but it is not admitted to the applicant. Therefore meter be tested in the laboratory of M.S.E.D.C.L. and bills may be revised.
- 3. Non applicant SPANCO denied applicant's case by filing reply Dt. 15.3.2013. It is submitted that meter of the applicant is tested and it found O.K. Therefore bills can not be revised.
- 4. Forum heard the arguments of both the sides and perused the record.
- 5. On 22.3.2013, it was ordered by the Forum that meter be tested in the laboratory of M.S.E.D.C.L. in presence of the applicant and Member / Secretary of the Forum and to submit report alongwith spot inspection report with connected load, meter photo since January 2012 to November 2012.
- 6. Accordingly meter of the applicant was tested. Dy. Executive Engineer (Testing), Nagpur filed meter testing report Dt. 175.4.2013 on record to the effect that meter is O.K.

Page 2 of 3 Case No. 33/13

- 7. As meter of the applicant is O.K. therefore it is not faulty. Therefore it is clear that bills issued are the bills of actual consumption of the applicant. Therefore there is no scope for revision of bills. We find no force in present grievance application and application deserves to be dismissed.
- 8. Hence Forum proceeds to pass following order:-

ORDER

1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/(Smt.K.K.Gharat) (Adv.Subhash Jichkar) (ShriShivajirao S.Patil)
MEMBER MEMBER CHAIRMAN
SECRETARY

Page 3 of 3 Case No. 33/13