

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s  
Consumer Grievance Redresses Forum  
Nagpur Zone, Nagpur**

---

**Case No. CGRF(NZ)/76/2017**

Applicant : Shri Manoj Jawaharlal Shahu,  
Plot no 25/d, Manao Nagar,  
Nari Road, Nagpur- 26

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,  
The Superintending Engineer,  
(D/F) NUC,MSEDCL, Nagpur.

---

Applicant: - Shri. Manoj Jawaharlal Shahu ,Applicant's & representative

Non- applicant:- 1) Shri.Vairagade , EE, Nodal Office,MSEDCL  
2) Shri. Akash Bindu, SNDL Nagpur.

---

Quorum Present: - 1) Mrs. V.N.Parihar,  
Member, Secretary & I/C.Chairman.

2) Shri N.V.Bansod,  
Member

---

**ORDER PASSED ON 06.09.2017.**

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 21.07.2017 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressed Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as said Regulations).
2. Non applicant, denied applicant's case by filing reply dated 22.08.2017
3. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused record.

4. The applicant Shri Manoj Jawaharlal Shahu has submitted his grievance application stating that he has purchased a plot of 1500 Sq. ft at Wanjri, Swarna Nagar, KJN Society on 13.03.1993 through registered deed. He has applied for getting new electric connection at the said premises and paid demand charges of Rs. 1052/- vide M.R. No. 2954898 dt. 17.03.2012 but did not get the electric connection. He has again applied for new electric connection and paid demand charges of Rs. 1070/- vide M.R. No. 9917413 on 27.02.2017. But, he was refused the electric connection for the reason that, in the said premises there exists a connection in the name of the Smt. Sangita Gopichand Chandrikapure with consumer no. 410017610574 given by MSEDCL authority since 07.06.2010. The applicant has stated in his application that Smt. Sangita G. Chandrikapure has illegally occupied his premises and illegally got connection. As per information received by the applicant through RTI application, she has applied for new electric connection at some different location as per A1 Form submitted to MSEDCL authority, but actual connection was given at the premises owned by the applicant. The applicant claims that he possesses legal documents of the disputed premises, whereas, the existing owner does not possess and, therefore, he has requested for permanent disconnection of the non-applicant's connection and to give new electric connection to him.

5. Non applicant has submitted written submission that, as per record, the electric connection to the Smt. Sangita G. Chandrikapure has been given by MSEDCL authority on 07.06.2010 on the basis of documents submitted by her. The documents are available with MSEDCL authority. Hence request of applicant is for

disconnection of the existing connection and release of new electric connection to him cannot be granted .Hence prayed to the forum to dismiss the application.

6. Applicant filed his grievance with IGRC vide case no.293/2017,.IGRC passed the order on dt.20.07.2017, rejected the grievance application of the applicant. It states that, to prove the legality of the documents does not come under the jurisdiction of this Cell. Also, SNDL,the licensee has no jurisdiction to disconnect the electric connection of Smt. Sangita Gopichand Chandrikapure given by MSEDCL authority on the basis of certain documents.

7. Hence aggrieved applicant filed this application for necessary relief.

8. To enable the Applicant and Non-applicant to put forth their arguments in person, a hearing was conducted before the forum on dt.23.08.17. Forum heard the argument of the both sides and perused documents furnished by them.

9. During the hearing, Applicant reiterated the facts of written application

10. Non-applicant too reiterated facts submitted in their written reply.

11. After careful perusal of argument and documents submitted by both the party, it is observed that there is dispute regarding the ownership of the premises between applicant and existing connection owner Smt. Sangita Gopichand Chandrikapure.The applicant has claimed that she has sought the same on the basis of bogus documents, but to prove the legality of the documents does not come under the jurisdiction of this forum.

12. When already one residential connection exists, the SNDL should not have issued demand note to the applicant. But it is seen that,SNDL has given demand

note not once but twice. Accordingly, the applicant has done the payment also.

15. This forum has no jurisdiction to disconnect the electric connection of the given by Smt. Sangita Gopichand Chandrikapure MSEDCL authority on the basis of valid documents.

16. The matter being a civil court dispute is beyond the purview of forum,

17. During the course of argument, applicant filed the pursis on record .In this pursis applicant specifically mentioned that he is withdrawing the case. This pursis is duly signed by applicant as well as Non-applicant before the forum. Considering the pursis of the applicant, we hold that he has no complaint against non-applicant. Therefore we hold that grievance of the applicant is fully redressed and liable to be disposed off.

18. Hence we proceed to pass the following order.

ORDER

Grievance of the applicant is redressed therefore disposed off.

sd/-

(Shri. **N.V.Bansod**)  
MEMBER

sd/-

(**Mrs.V.N.Parihar**),  
MEMBER/SECRETARY  
& I/C. CHAIRMAN