Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/44/2013

Applicant : Shri Sukhdeo Konduji Patil,

720, Anand nagar, Binaki layout,

NAGPUR: 17.

Non-applicant: Nodal Officer,

The Superintending Engineer,

(Distribution Franchisee),

MSEDCL, NAGPUR.

<u>Quorum Present</u>: 1) Shri. Shivajirao S. Patil

Chairman,

2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar,

Member,

3) Smt. Kavita K. Gharat

Member Secretary.

ORDER PASSED ON 21.5.2013.

- 1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 22.3.2013 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).
- 2. The applicant's case in brief is that the meter of the applicant is running fast. The applicant applied for meter

Page 1 of 3 Case No. 44/13

testing on 25.4.2012. On 10.7.2012 meter was checked and it was found 14.35 % fast. That meter was replaced and installed previously in January 2009. On 3.12.2012, new meter was installed. Therefore bills issued by non applicant are excessive and those bills should be revised.

- 3. Non applicant SPANCO denied applicant's case by filing reply Dt. 10.4.2013. It is submitted that old meter is replaced and new meter is installed in December 2008. Applicant complained on 25.4.2012 that meter is fast. He paid Rs. 100/- meter testing charges. Meter was tested in presence of the applicant on 9.7.2012 and found 14.35% fast. Therefore credit of 293 units as per calculation amounting to Rs. 2923.44 for the period April 2012 to Sept. 2012 was given to the applicant in the bill of October 2012. The applicant complained to I.G.R.C. on 9.1.2013 and claimed revision of bill as per regulation 15.4.1 of MERC Supply Code 2005. Credit of Rs. 1110.52 is given to the applicant in the bill of January 2013. The application may be dismissed.
- 4. Forum heard the arguments of both the sides and perused the record.
- 5. As per order dated 12.4.2013 it was ordered that Meter No. 7610923481 be tested in the laboratory of M.S.E.D.C.L. in presence of applicant and Member / Secretary of

Page 2 of 3 Case No. 44/13

the Forum and to submit report on or before 22.4.2013. Accordingly meter of the applicant was tested in presence of applicant and Member / Secretary of the Forum. Dy. E.E. (T) Division Nagpur filed testing report Dt. 20.5.2013 on record to the effect that meter of the applicant is O.K. Therefore it is clear that meter of the applicant is O.K. and hence reading recorded by the meter is the correct reading of consumption utilized by the applicant. It is a great surprise that on 20.5.2013 the applicant filed an application before this Forum that he does not agree with the testing report issued by Testing Division of M.S.E.D.C.L. However, we find no force in this objection of the applicant. Meter of the applicant is O.K. Therefore we find no force in present grievance application. We have carefully perused order passed by Learned I.G.R.C. It is perfectly correct and legal. There is no need to interfere in the same. Therefore grievance application deserves to be dismissed. Hence Forum proceeds to pass following order:

ORDER

1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/- Sd/- Sd/(Smt.K.K.Gharat) (Adv.Subhash Jichkar) (ShriShivajirao S.Patil)
MEMBER MEMBER CHAIRMAN
SECRETARY

Page 3 of 3 Case No. 44/13