Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/153/2013			
		Applicant	: Shri Gangadhar N. Burade, Ward No. 30, Pachpaoli Shobhakhet, Behind Karmavir Shinde Primary School, Nagpur: 17.
		Non–applicant	: Nodal Officer, The Superintending Engineer, (Distribution Franchisee), MSEDCL, NAGPUR.
Quorum Present	: 1) Shri. Shivajirao S. Patil Chairman,		
	2) Shri B.A. Wasnik, Member Secretary.		

ORDER PASSED ON 30.9.2013.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 3.8.2013 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).

2. The applicant's case in brief is that his meter is tested by the non applicant and it is found O.K. but he is not satisfied. Therefore his meter be tested in the laboratory of M.S.E.D.C.L. and bill may be revised.

3. Non applicant M/s. SPANCO denied applicant's case by filing reply Dt. 26.8.2013. It is submitted that meter of the applicant is tested by acucheck on 27.7.2013 and it found O.K. Therefore there

is no need to retest the meter again and again. Grievance application may be dismissed.

4. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused the record.

5. There is meter testing report of non applicant SNDL on record Dt. 27.7.2013. It is duly signed by the applicant. As per this report meter is O.K. There is also spot inspection report on record Dt. 21.8.2013. There is sufficient consumption.

6. We have carefully perused consumption trend of the applicant and it is found similar. There is no abnormal bill in any month. Therefore in our opinion, there is no need to test the meter in the laboratory of M.S.E.D.C.L.

7. It is noteworthy that during the course of arguments applicant himself admitted that he had installed 2 meters in one house to get flab benefit. It is in fact illegal and not permissible according to rules and regulations.

8. For these reasons there is no need to test the meter in the laboratory of M.S.E.D.C.L. and there is no scope to revise the bill. We find no substance in the present grievance application and application deserves to be dismissed. Hence the following order : -

ORDER

1) Grievance application is hereby dismissed.

Sd/-(Shri B.A. Wasnik) MEMBER / SECRETARY

Page 2 of 2

Sd/-(ShriShivajirao S.Patil) CHAIRMAN

Case No. 153/13