Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/0115/2006

Applicant : M/s. P.G. Oil Mills

Nehru Putla, Itwari,

Fact Add. Kadoli, Tah. Kamptee Dist. Nagpur represented by Shri Rajendrakumar B. Goenka.

Non-Applicant : The Nodal Officer-

Assistant Engineer, O & M Division-I,

Nagpur representing the MSEDCL.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri S.D. Jahagirdar,

Chairman,

Consumer Grievance Redressal

Forum,

Nagpur Urban Zone,

Nagpur.

2) Smt. Gouri Chandrayan,

Member,

Consumer Grievance Redressal

Forum,

Nagpur Urban Zone,

Nagpur.

3) Shri M.S. Shrisat

Exe. Engr. & Member Secretary,

Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum, NUZ,

MSEDCL, Nagpur.

ORDER (Passed on 19.04.2006)

The present grievance application has been filed on 24.03.2006 under Regulation 6.3 of the Maharashtra Electricity

Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Ombudsman) Regulations, 2003 here-in-after referred-to-as the said Regulations.

Following relief is sought by the applicant in this case.

- 1) Sanction of 22 HP load with LT supply effective from 26.05.2001.
- 2) Refund of excess energy charges paid, considering 22 HP as sanctioned load from the month of June, 2001 till date alongwith interest as applicable.
- 3) Issuance of correct energy bill for the month of October, 2005 based on data retrieved from the meter and refund of excess amount charged alongwith interest as applicable.
- 4) Punishment to the MSEDCL for not performing its duty and award of compensation to the applicant as per MERC (
 Standards of Performance of Distribution Licensees, Period For Giving Supply and Determination of Compensation)
 Regulations, 2005.

The case of the applicant was presented before us by its nominated representative Shri Rajendrakumar B. Goenka.

The matter was heard by us on 12.04.2006 & 19.04.2006.

On 19.04.2006, during the course of hearing, the applicant's representative stated before us that he is willing to withdraw his grievance application provided that the applicant's energy bill for the month of October, 2005 is corrected considering the normal demand and not the overshooted demand as wrongly reflected by the MD meter

and provided further that the non-applicant refunds the excess amount charged in the subsequent months due to the billing of 75% of maximum demand as recorded in the month of October, 2005. The applicant's representative further submitted that he will not press for other reliefs in case his proposal is accepted by the non-applicant and he shall submit fresh application for reduction of demand.

The non-applicant, thereupon, voluntarily agreed in our presence to accept this proposal of the applicant.

Accordingly a written pursis was submitted duly signed by both the parties.

In view of the mutual agreement between the parties, the applicant's representative requested to allow him to withdraw his grievance application.

In the result, the applicant's grievance application stands disposed off as withdrawn accordingly.

(M.S. Shrisat) (Smt. Gouri Chandrayan) (S.D. Jahagirdar)
Member-Secretary Member CHAIRMAN
CONSUMER GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL FORUM
MAHARASHTRA STATE ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION CO LTD's
NAGPUR URBAN ZONE, NAGPUR