Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum Nagpur Zone, Nagpur

Case No. CGRF(NZ)/22/2017

Applicant : Shri Sayyad Mumtaj Ali,

Chhaoni, Katol Road, Nagpur-440013.

Non-applicant: Nodal Officer,

The Superintending Engineer,

(DF), NUC, ,MSEDCL,

Nagpur.

Applicant's representative :- Shri Haji Sohel Patel,

Respondent by 1) Shri Vairagade, EE Nodal, NUC, MSEDCL, Nagpur

2) Shri Dahashastra, SNDL

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,

Chairman.

2) Shri N.V.Bansod Member

3) Mrs. V.N.Parihar, Member, Secretary

ORDER PASSED ON 22.03.2017.

The Applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on dt. 14.02.2017 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as said Regulations).

Page no.1 of 2 case no.22/2017

- 2. Applicant's case in brief is that he received excessive bill and therefore, bill may be revised.
- 3. Non applicant denied the applicant's case by filling reply on dt. 23.02.2017. It is submitted that Meter is tested in the lab and found O.K. Therefore bill cannot be revised..
- 3. Forum heard arguments of both the side and perused record.
- 4. As per order dt. 28.02.2017 passed by this forum, it was ordered to test the meter in the lab of MSEDCL and to submit report. Accordingly meter of the applicant is tested in the lab of MSEDCL and to submit the report. Accordingly meter is tested in the Laboratory of MSEDCL. As per Metger Testing Report dt. 17.03.2017 issued by Executive Engineer, Urban Testing Division, MSEDCL, Nagpur meter is O.K.
- 5. Therefore, consumption utilized by the applicant is correctly recorded by the meter and hence there is no scope for the revision of bill.
- 6. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed.
- 7. Hence the following order.

ORDER

Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/- sd/- sd/- (N.V.Bansod) (Mrs.V.N.Parihar) (Shivajirao S. Patil),
MEMBER MEMBER/SECRETARY CHAIRMAN

Page no.1 of 2 case no.22/2017