

**Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s
Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum
Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur**

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/137/2013

Applicant : Shri Satram M. Parwani,
Plot No. 1, No. 10 Puliya, near Nagsen
School, Kamptee Road, Bezanbagh,
Nagpur : 17.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,
The Superintending Engineer,
(Distribution Franchisee),
MSEDCL,
NAGPUR.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri. Shivajirao S. Patil
Chairman,

2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar,
Member,

3) Shri B.A. Wasnik,
Member Secretary.

ORDER PASSED ON 18.9.2013.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 25.7.2013 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).

2. The applicants' case in brief is that since June 2013 applicant is receiving excessive bills. Meter was tested and found O.K. But applicant is not satisfied with that meter test. Bill may be revised and meter may be tested in the laboratory of M.S.E.D.C.L.

3. Non applicant M/s. SPANCO denied applicant's case by filing reply dt. 17.8.2013. It is submitted that meter of the applicant is tested by acucheck and it is found O.K. Grievance application may be dismissed.

4. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused the record.

5. We have carefully perused the entire record. Bill of June 2013 of 3521 units is bill for three months. In this bill M/s. SPANCO had already credit of Rs. 7759/-. We have carefully perused spot inspection report. It shows that applicant has following connected load :-

- | | | |
|----------------|---|---------|
| a) A.C. | - | 2 Nos. |
| b) Fan | - | 2 Nos. |
| c) Inverter | - | 3 Nos. |
| d) CFL | - | 15 Nos. |
| e) Computer | - | 3 Nos. |
| f) Tube Lights | - | 1 Nos. |

Therefore there is sufficient consumption. This disputed bill is regarding summer season. Considering trend of consumption of the applicant, in our opinion, as the meter is already tested and found O.K., there is no need to test the meter again. Bill issued by non applicant is perfectly legal and correct and needs no interference. Hence Forum proceeds to pass following order :-

ORDER

- 1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-
(Shri B.A. Wasnik)
MEMBER /
SECRETARY

Sd/-
(Adv.Subhash Jichkar)
MEMBER

Sd/-
(ShriShivajirao S.Patil)
CHAIRMAN