Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/253/2014

Applicant : Shri Ghanshyam U. Jangid,

Plot No. 3, Mayurpeth,

Aradhana nagar,

Behind Satpuda Beer Bar,

Wathoda Ring Road,

Nagpur: 09.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,

The Superintending Engineer,

(Distribution Franchisee),

MSEDCL, N.U.C.,

NAGPUR.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,

Chairman.

2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar

Member.

3) Shri Anil Shrivastava, Member / Secretary.

ORDER PASSED ON 3.12.2014.

- 1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 4.10.2014 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).
- 2. The applicant's case in brief is that he received excessive bills from June 2014. Therefore bills be revised. Being aggrieved by the order passed by I.G.R.C. he approached to this Forum.

Page 1 of 2 Case No. 253/14

- 3. Non applicant denied applicant's case by filing reply Dt. 18.10.2014. It is submitted that bill for June 2014 for 1215 units is for 3 months i.e. since April 2014 to June 2014 at 405 units per month. Connected load is 1.80 kW and as per this connected load, consumption is matching.
- 4. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused the record.
- 5. We have carefully perused CPL in the month of April 2014 & May 2014. There was locked status of the meter and average billing of 198 units per month. Actually reading was taken in June 2014 and bill for 1215 for the period April 2014 to June 2014 was issued and slab benefit of Rs. 2182.37 is already given in June 2014. Therefore as per calculation it is average billing of 405 units per month and hence not excessive. Other trend of consumption of applicant also shows that in January 2014 consumption was 481 units, in July 2014 it was 436 units, in August the consumption was 421 units. Therefore after June 2014 also there is same trend of consumption and hence this bill is not excessive.
- 6. We have carefully perused order passed by Learned I.G.R.C. It is perfectly legal and valid and therefore needs no interference. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed. Hence following order:

ORDER

1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-(Anil Shrivastava) MEMBER SECRETARY Sd/-(Adv. Subhash Jichkar) MEMBER Sd/-(Shivajirao S. Patil), CHAIRMAN