Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/258/2014

Applicant : Late Shri Virendrakumar Modi,

User:- Deven Modi,

Plot No. 188, Deorup Bhavan,

Jaripatka Ring Road,

Nagpur: 14.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,

The Superintending Engineer,

(Distribution Franchisee),

MSEDCL, N.U.C.,

NAGPUR.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,

Chairman.

2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar

Member.

3) Shri Anil Shrivastava, Member / Secretary.

ORDER PASSED ON 27.11.2014.

- 1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 11.10.2014 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).
- 2. The applicant's case in brief is that applicant received excessive bills. Therefore bills may be revised. Being aggrieved by the order of I.G.R.C. applicant approached to this Forum.

- 3. Non applicant denied applicant's case by filing reply Dt. 27.10.2014. It is submitted that consumption of the applicant for the period May 2014 to July 2014 was 326 + 278 + 454 = 1058 units. During the period May 2013 to July 2013 his Consumption was 376 + 412 + 222 = 1010 units. During the period May 2012 to July 2012, consumption was 533 + 224 + 378 = 1135 units. Therefore if consumption of May 2014 to July 2014 is compared with the previous 2 years consumption in 2012 & 2013, it is the same. As per order of Learned I.G.R.C. meter is tested in meter testing laboratory and it is found O.K. Therefore bill can not be revised.
- 4. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused the record.
- Record shows that meter is tested in the meter testing laboratory on 6.9.2014 and it is found O.K. Therefore consumption recorded by the meter is the consumption utilised by the applicant. Consumption of applicant during the period 2012 & 2013 is similar and identical. Therefore consumption is not excessive. Meter is tested and it is found O.K. Therefore grievance application deserves to be dismissed. Hence following order: -

ORDER

1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-(Anil Shrivastava) MEMBER SECRETARY Sd/-(Adv. Subhash Jichkar) MEMBER

Sd/-(Shivajirao S. Patil), CHAIRMAN