Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur

Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/232/2014

Applicant : Shri Jagatpal S. Sahani,

Thr:- Shri Sushant S. Ganveer, Plot No. 1, Ratan Mangal Aptts.,

Kamal Talkies,

Nagpur.

Non-applicant : Nodal Officer,

The Superintending Engineer,

(Distribution Franchisee),

MSEDCL, N.U.C.,

NAGPUR.

Quorum Present : 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil,

Chairman.

2) Adv. Subhash Jichkar

Member.

3) Shri Anil Shrivastava, Member / Secretary.

ORDER PASSED ON 7.11.2014.

- 1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 16.9.2014 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).
- 2. The applicant's case in brief is that he received excessive bills from May 2014 and therefore bills be revised. His grievance application is rejected by I.G.R.C. Therefore he approached to this Forum.

Page 1 of 2 Case No. 232/14

- 3. Non applicant denied applicant's case by filing reply Dt. 4.10.2014. It is submitted that Grievance application deserves to be dismissed.
- 4. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused the record.
- As per order dated 7.10.2014, it was ordered that meter be tested in the laboratory of M.S.E.D.C.L. and to submit report on or before 20.10.2014. Today on Dt. 7.11.2014, Executive Engineer (U) Testing Division M.S.E.D.C.L. Nagpur filed meter testing report on record and as per this meter testing report meter is O.K. Therefore consumption recorded by the meter is the consumption utilised by the applicant. Hence bills can not be revised.
- 6. Order passed by I.G.R.C. is legal and valid and needs no interference. Hence the following order: -

ORDER

- 1) Grievance application is dismissed.
- 2) be reported within 30 days from the date of this order.

Sd/-(Anil Shrivastava) MEMBER SECRETARY Sd/-(Adv. Subhash Jichkar) MEMBER Sd/-(Shivajirao S. Patil) CHAIRMAN

Page 2 of 2 Case No. 232/14