Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd.'s Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum Nagpur Urban Zone, Nagpur Case No. CGRF(NUZ)/183/2014

Applicant	: Shri Maroti Natthuji Meshram, Thr:- Shri Mahendra M. Meshram, Jattarodi No. 3, Indira nagar Nagpur.
Non–applicant	: Nodal Officer, The Superintending Engineer, (Distribution Franchisee), MSEDCL, N.U.C., NAGPUR.
<u>Quorum Present</u>	: 1) Shri Shivajirao S. Patil, Chairman.
	2) Shri Anil Shrivastava, Member / Secretary.

ORDER PASSED ON 22.9.2014.

1. The applicant filed present grievance application before this Forum on 1.8.2014 under Regulation 6.4 of the Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulations, 2006 (hereinafter referred to as Regulations).

2. The applicant's case in brief is that his meter is fast and he is receiving excessive bills. Therefore bills may be revised. His grievance application is rejected by Learned I.G.R.C. on 28.7.2014. Therefore he approached to this Forum. 3. Non applicant denied applicant's case by filing reply dated 16.8.2014. It is submitted that meter of the applicant is tested by acucheck on 15.2.2014 and it is found correct. CPL shows that consumption of the applicant in 2013 in the month of March to June was more in comparison with his consumption in 2014. Grievance application be dismissed.

4. Forum heard arguments of both the sides and perused the record.

5. Record shows that consumption of the applicant in April 2013 was 527 units, whereas in April 2014 it was 360 units. In June 2013 his consumption was 519 units but in June 2014 his consumption was 448 units. Other entries also show that his consumption in 2013 was more in comparison with the consumption in 2014.

6. As per order of this Forum dated 20.8.2014 meter is tested in the laboratory of M.S.E.D.C.L. and meter testing report issued by Executive Engineer (T) Division, MSEDCL, Nagpur Dt. 10.9.2014 shows that meter is O.K.

7. So far as connected load is concerned, there is sufficient connected load of the applicant. Therefore in our opinion bills issued to the applicant are legal and proper and can not be revised. Grievance application deserves to be dismissed. Hence following order : -

ORDER

1) Grievance application is dismissed.

Sd/-(Anil Shrivastava) MEMBER SECRETARY Sd/-(Shivajirao S. Patil), CHAIRMAN