#### CONSUMER GRIEVANCES REDRESSAL FORUM; NAGPUR (RURAL)

#### **COMPLAINT NO. 384/2012**

Shri Waman Mahadev Itankar, At Post Wagholi, Taluka Hinganghat, District - Wardha.

.. Complainant

"VS..

- 1. Executive Engineer, MSEDCL, O & M Division, Hinganghat.
- Executive Engineer/Nodal Officer, I. G. R. C., Circle Office, MSEDCL, Wardha.

**Respondents** 

Applicant Represented by Shri B.V. Betal.

Respondents represented by 1) Shri P.B. Ingle, Jr.Engineer, Hinganghat.

#### CORAM:

Shri T. M. Mantri, Chairman.

Shri M. G. Deodhar, Member.

Ms. S. B. Chiwande, Member-Secretary.

#### <u>ORDER</u> (Per Chairman Dtd. 14<sup>th</sup> May, 2012)

The complainant has approached this Forum in respect of his grievance for delay in providing electric connection and has also claimed compensation as per rules. The complainant's case in brief is that he has submitted A-1 form on 14/12/2009 for 3 H.P. Ag. Pump connection. The Demand Note was issued on 09/03/2010. Accordingly he has deposited amount on 13/4/2010. The test report was submitted on 15/4/2010. In spite of oral and written requests for electric connection no cognizance has been taken, no electric connection has been provided till date. The complainant then approached to I.G.R.Cell on 22/09/2011, matter was heard on 22/11/2011 and order passed on 10/01/2012, but the complainant is not satisfied with the order of I.G.R.Cell hence he approached to this Forum

for Redressal of his grievance. He has claimed immediate connection and compensation of Rs. 3 lakhs on account of delay from the Distribution Licensee towards agricultural losses, Rs.500/- excess demanded in demand note which deserves to be refunded with interest, Rs. 30000/- for mental harassment, Rs. 2000/- for traveling expenses and Rs. 3000/- towards filing the present proceedings.

2. As per the Rules, notice was given to the concerned office of the Distribution Licensee which in terns filed parawise reply stating that the complainant has made various demands with different authorities and the claims are exorbitant. It is further stated that on account of agricultural losses no documents have been filed by the complainant including Inspection Report of the Competent Authority and the certificate. It is stated that IGRC, Wardha after hearing the parties has issued order directing Distribution Licensee to provide electric connection as per seniority list and turned down the claim of compensation.

3. There is no dispute regarding the dates such as A-1 application, issuing of Demand Note, date of making payment and giving of test report. It is stated that for giving electric connection to the complainant's Ag. Pump low Tension Line was required to be erected so complainant's name was included in the paid pending seniority list of 2010-11. It is further stated that electrification work of agricultural pumps are carried out as per the instructions of the higher offices & the availability of funds under SPA Scheme. Reference has been made to allotment of contract to M/s Host International, Mumbai on dtd. 01/12/2011 alleging that copy of instructions for completing the work and paid pending list from 1/4/2010 to 31/05/2010 is given to the said Contractor is annexed. Further it is stated that accordingly work is in process such as purchasing of material, obtaining of permission, inspection etc. and at some places poles have been erected. The complainant's name is at Sr.No.30 in the seniority list. The work of providing connection is being done as per the directives of the higher offices. The work would be completed and the electric connection will be provided to the complainant. There is no delay on the part of the concerned office of the Distribution Licensee, therefore complaint is to be rejected. Copies of some of the documents have been filed.

4. In the demand note Rs. 500/- has been mentioned towards cost of broken pole and it is as per consent of the consumer who installed their box on the said pole. Claim for refund of the said amount needs to be rejected. Lastly pressed for dismissal of complaint.

5. Heard Shri Betal, Ld. Representative for complainant & Ld. Shri P.B.Ingle, Jr.Engineer on behalf of Distribution Licensee. Written notes of arguments came to be filed on record by the complainant's representative. As observed above it is not in dispute with regard to the date of application, depositing the amount and submission of test report.

6. Admittedly the complainant has deposited the amount as per Demand Note on 13/04/2010 and the Test Report was given on 15/04/2010. The complainant had to approach to IGRC for getting electric connection and compensation there of. The Ld. representative of the complainant has referred to the provisions of S.O.P. Regulations,2005 in support of his claim for compensation. As against this the Ld. Representative of Distribution Licensee has submitted that there is no intentional delay but the supply will be given as per seniority list. It has been stated that the allotment of work to the Contractor with instruction for completing the work is given. and in a month or two, connection will be given to the complainant's Ag. Pump.

7. As per M.E.R.C. Regulation,2005 period of providing supply of electricity is given. So also the amount of compensation if failure to meet the standard of performance mentioned therein. Admittedly the Test Report was given on 15/04/2010. As per S.O.P. Regulation,2005 period of 3 months is provided in case of extension of line is required. In this case it is admitted position that supply is not provided to the complainant's Ag. Pump. In any case there is failure on the part of the Distribution Licensee to provide electric supply as per Regulation referred to above. Consequently the complainant is entitled for appropriate relief to provide supply as well as for compensation as per the above referred Regulation. As far as claim for Agricultural losses of Rs. 3 lakhs and other claims such as mental harassment, traveling expenses and proceeding fees there is no evidence brought on record. There is nothing in support of such claims. There is a grave doubt as to whether such claim can be entertained. For all these reasons it is to be turned down.

8. According to the Ld. Member Secretary of this Forum there is no intentional delay for supply to the complainant's Ag. Pump but as per seniority list it will be provided. The complainant's cause of grievance about payment of compensation for delay in giving supply will arose only when supply is given to him. In this case the supply is not yet given. The complainant's prayer for compensation for delay in giving supply is premature, in view of the orders passed by the Hon'ble Electricity Ombudsman, Mumbai in representation No. 32/2010, hence the complainant is not entitled for compensation.

3

9. However as already observed above, S.O.P. Regulation, 2005 period of providing supply of electricity is given. More over on going through the S.O.P.Regulation,2005 it is clear that clause 12 there of deals with the determination of compensation. On plain reading of clause 12(i) it is clear that if the Distribution Licensee has failed to meet Standard of Performance specified in the Regulation, 2005, "either of its own knowledge or upon written claim filed by affected person". the Distribution Licensee shall be liable to pay compensation as provided in Appendix 'A' to the Regulations. So it is clear from the wording in this clause that period of Standard of Performance mentioned therein has for the Distribution Licensee and it is to perform the said work within the period mentioned therein so as to meet the Standard of Performance. Upon failure to do, so the Distribution Licensee has knowledge of its failure and therefore, liability of compensation starts. More over 3<sup>rd</sup> proviso to clause 12 (2) is providing outer limit of 60 days from the date of rectification. As per the same provision there is bar for not entertaining the claim for compensation if the same is filed after 60 days of removal of deficiency. The wording thereof clearly means that there is no bar for filing such claim prior to that. In view there of it can not be said that such claim is premature. In any case the period of Standard of Performance is given in the Regulation. These Regulations are binding on Respondent Licensee. So upon considering the matter from all angle this Forum is passing following order per majority.

### <u>ORDER</u>

- The Complaint No. CGRF/NZ-R/384/2012 is hereby partly allowed.
   The Respondent Licensee is directed to provide electric supply to the complainant immediately. The Respondent Licensee is further directed to pay compensation @ Rs.100/- per week as per SOP Regulations, from 16.07.2010 till providing of the electric connection to the complainant..
- (3) Compliance report be submitted within three months from the receipt of order.
- (4) In the circumstances, parties to bear their own cost.

# Sd/-Sd/-MEMBERMEMBER SECRETARYCHAIRMANCONSUMER GRIEVANCES REDRESSAL FORUM; NAGPUR (RURAL)

(Order Per Chairman Dtd.: 14th May, 2012

# CONSUMER GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL FORUM NAGPUR ZONE (RURAL) M. S. E. D. C. L.

Plot No.12, Shrikrupa, Vijaynagar, Chhaoni,

<u>NAGPUR - 440 013</u>

 Shri T.M.Mantri
 Shri M.G.Deodhar,

 Chairman
 Member

 (Mb)9673215771
 (O) 0712- 2022198
 (M)9422805325

NO. CGRF/NZ/R/

Date :

## Certified copy of order dtd 14<sup>th</sup> May,2012 in Case No. 384/2012 is enclosed

herewith.

Member-Secy/ Exe.Engineer, C.G.R.F.(NZ-R)MSEDCL <u>N A G P U R</u>

To,

Shri Waman Mahadev Itankar, At Wagholi Post- Wagholi, Tq-Hinganghat, Dist. Wardha

Copy S.W.Rs.to :-

1. The Chief Engineer, Nagpur Zone (Rural) MSEDCL, Vidyut Bhavan, Katol Road, Nagpur.

Copy F.W.Cs.to:

1. The Executive Engineer/Nodal Officer., O&M Circle Office, MSEDCL.Wardha

2. The Executive Engineer, C.C.O&M Dn., MSEDCL, Hinganghat for information and necessary action.

Address of the Electricity Ombudsman is given as below.

Office of - The Electricity Ombudsman,

12, Srikrupa, Vijay Nagar, Chhaoni, Nagpur-440 013 Ph.No.0712-2022198.

