

<u>Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum, Kalyan Zone</u> Behind "Tejashree", Jahangir Meherwanji Road, Kalyan (West) 421301 Ph– 2210707, Fax – 2210707, E-mail : cgrfkalyan@mahadiscom.in

IN THE MATTER OF GRIEVANCE NO. K/E/436/492 OF 2010-2011 OF M/S. PLUTO PLASTICS, VASAI REGISTERED WITH CONSUMER GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL FORUM KALYAN ZONE, KALYAN ABOUT EXCESSIVE BILLING.

(Here-in-after

referred

as Consumer)

M/s. Pluto Plastics Plot No. 18, Shed B – 10, V. T. I. Co. Estate, Gauripada, Vasai (East), Dist. : Thane – 401 208

Versus

Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution		(Here-in-after
Company Limited through its		referred
Dy. Executive Engineer	>	as licensee)
Vasai Road (East) Sub-Dn.		
Vasai, Dist. Thane.	J	

 Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum has been established under "Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Ombudsman) Regulation 2006" to redress the grievances of consumers. This regulation has been made by the Grievance No. K/E/436/492 of 2010-2011

Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission vide powers conferred on it by Section 181 read with sub-section 5 to 7 of section 42 of the Electricity Act, 2003. (36 of 2003).

2) The consumer is a H. T. consumer of the licensee with C. D. 220 KVA. The Consumer is billed as per Industrial tariff. Consumer registered grievance with the Forum on 28/10/2010 for Excessive Energy Bills. The details are as follows: -Name of the consumer :- M/s. Pluto Plastics

Address: - As given in the title

Consumer No : - 1)001890274656 – 25 HP

2)001849030910 -- 220 KVA

Reason of dispute: Excessive Energy Bills.

- 3) The batch of papers containing above grievance was sent by Forum vide letter No EE/CGRF/Kalyan/681 dated 28/10/2010 to Nodal Officer of licensee. The licensee filed reply vide letter No. DYEE/VSI/(E)/9685, dated 22/11/2010.
- 4) The forum heard both the parties on 07/12/2010 @ 18.00 Hrs. in the cabin of Nodal Officer Vasai Circle, Vasai. Shri Harshad Sheth, Shri Vinit Sheth representatives of the consumer & Shri Purohit, Nodal Officer, Shri S. M. Bangar, Dy. Ex. Engr. representatives of the licensee, attended hearing. Minutes of the hearing including the submissions made by the parties are recorded and the same are kept in the record. Submissions made by each party in respect of each grievance shall be referred while deciding each of the grievances to avoid repetition.
- 5) The consumer has taken electricity connection from the Distribution Licensee (DL) to the industry situated at V.T.I. Co. Estate, Gauripada, Vasai (East) in the year 1987. According to consumer at the time of new

Grievance No. K/E/436/492 of 2010-2011

connection licensee collected Rs. 1,000/- as Security Deposit (SD) and that P. D. credit balance Rs. 23,104/- does not appear in the computer due to change over to Oracle System. It is contended on verifying F-1 register or any other evidence as per the directions of Hon. MERC licensee needs to refund the same and that consumer claimed the amount with interest but not refunded and as such licensee is liable to refund the amounts as above vide chart enclosed with interest. As regards excess connected load penalty it is contended by the consumer that licensee collected huge amount i.e. Rs. 3,47,193/- on this count contrary to the decision of Hon. MERC in case No. 02 of 03 and the Ombudsman Order 39 of 06 as mentioned in the chart enclosed. It is further contended that licensee is supposed to refund the RLC amount from July 2008 onwards. RLC paid for the single phase connection since it is merged in three phase meter, collected RLC approx. Rs. 30,255/- is required to be refunded but not refunded so far with interest vide chart enclosed. Consumer by letter dt. 19/08/10, 18/09/10 claimed the amounts as above but the licensee did not respond. Consumer moved the I.G.R. Cell but in vain, hence the instant grievance application to direct the licensee to refund the amount of S.D., P. D. credit balance Rs. 23,104/-, Excess connected load penalty, and R.L.C. vide charts enclosed with interest.

6) Licensee filed reply dt. 22/11/10 contending that the amount of SD is being refunded with interest however, credit balance as pointed out by consumer will be shown after connection is permanently disconnected. As regards Excess connected load penalty it is totally time barred by three years hence cannot be refunded. So far amount of RLC is being refunded with interest as per directions of Hon. MERC & Ombudsman. Grievance No. K/E/436/492 of 2010-2011

- 7) About refund of Security Deposit and the credit balance while permanently disconnecting single phase meter, it is contended that the same as per chart has not been refunded. When consumer claimed deposited amount as above, licensee is under obligation to refund with interest without delay. This Forum in many cases including Case No. 393 and 433 of 2010 filed by representative Shri Harshad Sheth clarified on this aspect. As seen from the reply dt. 22/11/10 referred to above licensee pointed out the amount of S.D. is being refunded with interest and that licensee is liable to refund the same with interest without delay as per the directions given by Hon. MERC and Ombudsman. So far refund of P. D. credit balance according to consumer single phase connection was permanently disconnected in April 2005 showing credit balance of Rs. 23,104/- but the same has not been actually credited. From the copy of bill it is seen P.D. credit balance is shown Rs. 23,104/- then licensee has to give credit of the same without delay. Therefore licensee will have to be directed to refund the amount of S. D. and give credit balance of P.D. in the bill without delay.
- 8) According to consumer vide letter dt. 19/08/10 excess connected load penalty vide chart needs to be refunded with interest. Licensee vide reply dt. 22/11/10 pointed out that the claimed amount is totally time barred hence cannot be refunded. According to consumer on perusal the CPL, it is transpired that while enhancing load from 65 to 107 HP officials of the licensee collected excess connected load penalty approx. Rs. 3,47,193/- and the same needs to be refunded as per directions of Hon. MERC in case No. 02 of 03 dt. 14/07/05 and order of Ombudsman in representation No. 39 of 06 dt. 05/09/06. Consumer on this count enclosed calculation chart with application. On going through the orders of Hon. MERC and the observations made by Hon. Ombudsman in para 12, 13, 14 in the order

mentioned above, in the light of charts enclosed and hearing the contentions made by both the parties we find proper to direct the officials of the licensee to work out connected load penalty and if collected excess, refund the amount with interest. This Forum in many cases including Case No. 398 and 437 of 2010 filed by representative Shri Harshad Sheth pointed out in detail about the calculation and the refund of amount even of time barred of connected load penalty.

9) So far refund of RLC this amount was paid by the consumer to the licensee as loan in the difficult time of licensee during December 2003 to July 2006 @ 50 paise per unit monthly consumption. Hon. MERC in case No. 72 of 2006 clearly depicted methodology as regards refund of RLC. When the amount running in thousands i.e. Rs. 30,255/- was given in difficult days, licensee is under obligation to refund the same as per the directions of Hon. MERC and the Ombudsman and not as per the sweet will of the officials of the licensee. This Forum in many cases including case No. 393 and 436 of 2010 filed by representative Shri Harshad Sheth in detail pointed out on this aspect. Consequently grievance application will have to be partly allowed. Hence the order :

<u> O-R-D-E-R</u>

- 1) The grievance application is partly allowed.
- 2) Licensee is directed to refund the amount of SD, and the credit balance in connection with permanently disconnected single phase meter if any, with R.B.I. rate of interest to the consumer if not paid earlier as per the directions given by Hon. MERC in case No. 93 of 08 dated 01/09/2010

within 45 days and compliance should be reported to the forum within 60 days from the date of receipt of this decision.

- 3) Licensee is further directed to work out the amount of Excess connection load penalty, and RLC as per the directions of Hon. MERC in case No. 72 of 2007, 02 of 03, and Ombudsman in case No. 39 of 2006 and if collected excess, to refund the same with interest within 45 days and compliance should be reported to the forum within 60 days from the date of receipt of this decision.
- 4) The Consumer can file representation against this decision with the Hon. Electricity Ombudsman within 60 days from the date of this order at the following address.

"Office of the Electricity Ombudsman, Maharastra Electricity Regulatory Commission, 606/608, Keshav Bldg, Bandra Kurla Complex, Mumbai 51".

5) Consumer, as per section 142 of the Electricity Act, 003, can approach Hon. Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission for non-compliance, part compliance or delay in compliance of this decision issued under "Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Ombudsman) Regulation 2003" at the following address:-

"Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission, 13th floor, World Trade Center, Cuffe Parade, Colaba, Mumbai 05"

Date : 30/12/2010

(Mrs. S.A. Jamdar) Member CGRF Kalyan (R.V.Shivdas) Member Secretary CGRF Kalyan

(S.N. Saundankar) Chairperson CGRF Kalyan