Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum Maharashtra State Electricity Distribution Co. Ltd. Bhandup Urban Zone, Bhandup

Ref. No. Secretary/MSEDCL/CGRF/BNDUZ/

Date:

Case No. 119

Hearing Dt.14/05/2007 & 21/05/2007

In the matter of delay in replacement of failed D.T.C. causing production loss and request for the compensation

M/s. Pooja Textile, Bhiwandi

Appellant

Vs.

Superintending Engineer, MSEDCL, Bhiwandi Circle

Respondent

Present during the hearing

A - On the behalf of CGRF, Bhandup

- 1) Shri S.L. Kulkarni, Chairman, CGRF, Bhandup.
- 2) Shri S.B. Wahane, Member Secretary, CGRF, Bhandup.
- 3) Mrs. Manik P. Datar, Member, CGRF, Bhandup.

B - On the behalf of Appellant

1) Shri Pravin D. Thakkar, Proprietor, Pooja Textiles.

C - On the behalf of Respondent

- 1) Shri Barhate, Bhiwandi Circle
- 2) Shri S. Rajendra Prasad, Bhiwandi Circle.

Consumer's Say:

The consumer has put up his grievance with this Forum on 10th April 2007. There was no Internal Grievance Forum at Bhiwandi Circle due to handing the power distribution matters to Torrent Co. since Jan-2007. Hence appellant directly approached this Forum. The hearings were held on 14/05/2007 and 21/05/2007.

M/s. Pooja Textiles runs a power loom industry having consumer No. 013012535427/5. He was getting power from 315 kVA DTC named Raj compound, Divn. 5, Kalyan Road. It tripped on 01/02/2006 which was repaired and installed on 28/04/2006. Again the same transformer failed on 01/07/2006 and was replaced on 30/08/2006. Due to failure of the transformer, supply of his industry was interrupted which resulted into production loss of Rs. 2,94,400/- by way of wages, rent etc. Due to failure of transformer (twice) his factory was closed for 131 days.

D: Vidya 2: Case No. 122

1

He contacted sub-division officer, after the DTC failure. He was told by sub-division office the spare transformer is available with them for immediate replacement. However he was asked to contact division office as it was monitored by division office. The consumer further alleged that neither the serial number nor the seniority was maintained in issuing the repaired transformers. He pointed out that as per MERC orders prescribing S.O.P., the failed transformer should have been replaced within 24 hrs. in an urban area. He further states that the officials of the utility are framing their own rules and regulations as per their convenience to harass the consumer.

He also states that earlier he was sanctioned a 10 HP load but he submitted an application with necessary documents on 20/01/2007 for enhancement of load to 22 HP. Utility had not taken any congnizance of his application. Therefore, he took the initiative to increase the connection load without the formal sanction. This increased connected load is reflected in power bills.

Respondent clarification of consumer's grievance:

Utility has accepted that the above transformer at Raj compound failed twice 01/02/2006 and 01/07/2006 and replaced on 28/04/2006 and 30/08/2006 respectively. Utility states that "M/s. Pooja Textile having sanctioned load of 10 HP only. Instead of that he is using 22 HP load which is very high as compared to sanctioned load. All such type of enhancing the load, the load comes under the pilferage of energy. Due to the excess loading of the transformer by unauthorised drawing of power leads to frequent failure and break down of the transformer. The frequency is so high in Bhiwandi that their replacement/repairs cannot be done within a period of 24 hrs. as prescribed in S.O.P. However, MSEDCL has taken efforts to replace the transformer in minimum time as per availability and maintaining seniority of failed transformers".

The application letter for enhancement of load submitted by consumer is duly inwarded as show in inward register. But additional load would be released only after the augmentation of 315 kVA transformer at Raj Compound.

In Bhiwandi all the consumers are billed as per connected load to minimize pilfiration of energy so the said consumer is also billed as per connected load of 22 HP.

Further, no additional load or new load is sanctioned on 315 kVA at Raj compound transformer from Sept-05 to May-06.

Observations:

It is observed that the connected load on the Raj compound DTC having 315 kVA capacity was in excess of allowable load. Thus, resulting into its frequent failure.

The utility is thus prima facie liable to pay compensation at the prescribed rate for failure to replace the transformer within 24 hrs. in an urban area (utility having admitted to the fact that it took 131 days to replace the transformers, two instances together). However, as the claim for the compensation was not lodged within sixty days from the date of rectification of the deficiency, the same cannot be entertained as per rule 12.2 of standard of performance of distribution licensees, regulation 2005. Hence disallowed.

More over, appellant has demanded for an additional compensation of Rs. 2,94,200/- towards labour charges, rent etc. He has produced some photographs of labour lying idle. These are difficult to believe. The wage receipt of labour do not substantiate the claim without corroborative evidence and hence the claim for compensation cannot be substantiated and proved and hence disallowed.

ORDER

- 1) The request of the appellant consumer is rejected on the grounds of time barred claim as mentioned in section 12.2 in S.O.P.
- 2) The S.E. is requested to recommend the case of appellant to enhance the load from existing sanction from 10 HP to connected load of 22 HP to MSEDCL franchisee (Torrent Power Ltd.) since the consumer's application is pending with MSEDCL. Before handing over the power distribution to Torrent.

The order is issued under the seal of consumer Grievance Redressal Forum M.S.E.D.C. Ltd., Bhandup Urban Zone, Bhandup on

Note: 1) If Consumer is not satisfied with the decision, he may go in appeal within 60 days from date of receipt of this order to the Electricity Ombudsman in attached "Form B".

Address of the Ombudsman
The Electricity Ombudsman,
Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission,
606, Keshav Building,
Bandra - Kurla Complex, Bandra (E),
Mumbai - 400 051.

2) If utility is not satisfied with order, it may go in appeal before the Hon. High Court within 60 days from the date of receipt of the order.

MRS. M.P. DATAR
MEMBER
CGRF, BHANDUP

S.B. WAHANE MEMBER SECRETARY CGRF, BHANDUP S.L. KULKARNI CHAIRMAN CGRF, BHANDUP

CONSUMER GRIEVANCE REDRESAL FOURM MAHARASHTRA STATE DISTRIBUTION COMPANY LTD

Ph. No. 25624315

25624316

25624322

Consumer Grievance Redresal Forum
"Vidyut Bhavan", Gr. Floor,
L.B.S. Marg, Bhandup (W),
MUMBAI - 400 078[]

R.P.A.D.

REF.NO. Secretary/CGRF/MSDCL/BNDUZ/Case No. 111/ Date:

To

Shri Madhukar Gangaram Patil, Shop No. 2, Kalyan Road, Zenieth Compound, Bhiwandi, Bhiwandi

SUB: Decision issued in respect of case No. 111.

Dear Sir,

In response to the grievance put up by you with the Forum vide case No. 111 dt. 20/02/2007 hearing is completed on dated 21/04/2007 & the order passed on vide this office order No. 00049 dtd. 30/04/2007 is enclosed herewith for your information please.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully

Encl: As above

SECRETARY CGRF, MSEDCL, BHANDUP

-2-

-2-

c.s.w.r. to:

- 1) Chief Engineer (L.M.), M.S.E.D.C.Ltd., H.O., Prakashgad, Bandra (E), MUMBAI – 400 051.
- 2) The Chief Engineer, MSEDCL, BNDUZ, Bhandup.
- The Superintending Engineer & Nodal Officer, Consumer Grievance Internal Redressal Cell, Office of the Superintending Engineer, O&M Circle, MSEDCL, Bhiwandi.

D: Vidya 2: Case No. 122

CONSUMER GRIEVANCE REDRESAL FOURM MAHARASHTRA STATE DISTRIBUTION COMPANY LTD

Ph. No. 25624315 25624316 25624322 Consumer Grievance Redresal Forum "Vidyut Bhavan", Gr. Floor, L.B.S. Marg, Bhandup (W), MUMBAI - 400 078.□

REF.NO. Secretary/CGRF/MSDCL/BNDUZ/Case No. 122/

Date:

To

Shri H.R. Sharma, Mahadev Apartment, 'B' Wing, Near Aradhana Cinema, Thane (W).

SUB: Registration of your grievances dtd. 05/05/2007.

Dear Sir,

The grievance submitted by you is registered to this Forum vide Sr. No. 122, dtd. 05/05/2007 & hearing date was fixed on 28/05/07 at 02.00 hrs. The hearing date is now fixed on 18/072007 at 12.00 hrs. at the office of the Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum, Vidyut, Ground Floor, LBS Marg, Bhandup, Mumbai – 78.

Therefore, it is requested to attend the hearing on the above date alongwith documents in support of your grievance. In case of failure to attend the hearing on the above date, this Forum shall decide the Grievance Ex-parte on merit which may please be noted.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully

SECRETARY CGRF, MSEDCL, BHANDUP

c.f.w.cs. to:

- The Executive Engineer (Office) i.e. Nodal Officer, C.G.I.R.C., Office of the Suptd. Engr., O&M Circle, THANE.
- 2. The Executive Engineer, O&M Divn., MSEDCL., THANE.

CONSUMER GRIEVANCE REDRESAL FOURM MAHARASHTRA STATE DISTRIBUTION COMPANY LTD

Ph. No. 25624315 25624316 25624322 Consumer Grievance Redresal Forum "Vidyut Bhavan", Gr. Floor, L.B.S. Marg, Bhandup (W), MUMBAI - 400 078.□

REF.NO. Secretary/CGRF/MSDCL/BNDUZ/Case No. 122/

Date

7

<u>R.P.A.D.</u>

То

Shri H.R. Sharma, Mahadev Apartment, 'B' Wing, Near Aradhana Cinema, Thane (W).

SUB: Registration of your grievances dtd. 05/05/2007.

Dear Sir,

The grievance submitted by you is registered to this Forum vide Sr. No. 122, dtd. 05/05/2007 & hearing date is fixed on 28/05/2007 at 2.00 hrs. at the office of the Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum, Vidyut, Ground Floor, LBS Marg, Bhandup, Mumbai -78.

D: Vidya 2 : Case No. 122

Therefore, it is requested to attend the hearing on the above date alongwith documents in support of your grievance. In case of failure to attend the hearing on the above date, this Forum shall decide the Grievance Ex-parte on merit which may please be noted.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully

SECRETARY CGRF, MSEDCL, BHANDUP

c.f.w.cs. to:

- The Executive Engineer (Office) i.e. Nodal Officer, C.G.I.R.C., Office of the Suptd. Engr., O&M Circle, THANE.
- 2. The Executive Engineer, O&M Divn., MSEDCL., THANE.
- -- He is requested to attend the hearing on the above date alongwith the concerned authority & the details of report related with the grievance of the consumer.

CONSUMER GRIEVANCE REDRESAL FOURM MAHARASHTRA STATE DISTRIBUTION COMPANY LTD

Ph. No. 25624315 25624316 25624322 Consumer Grievance Redresal Forum "Vidyut Bhavan", Gr. Floor, L.B.S. Marg, Bhandup (W), MUMBAI - 400 078.□

REF.NO. Secretary/CGRF/MSDCL/BNDUZ/Case No. 122/

Date

То

The Nodal Officer & Executive Engineer (Office)
Consumer Grievance Internal Redressal Unit,
Office of the Superintending Engineer,
MSEDCL., THANE.

SUB: Submission of point wise compliance in respect of case No. 122.

D: Vidya 2: Case No. 122

As per MERC (Consumer Grievance Redressal Forum & Electricity Ombudsman) Regulation 2006 vide clause No. 6.12, the copy of grievance registered at Sr. No. 122 is being forwarded to your office for submission of issue wise compliance. The hearing date of the case is fixed on dated 28/05/2007 at 2.00 hrs. However, the Nodal Officer shall act as the coordinator for filing the reply, making submission, providing issue wise comments on the grievance, submitting compliance status / reports etc. Therefore it is requested to submit point wise compliance to this Forum in respect of the case in consultation with concerned authority within 15 days from the date of issue of this letter or one week before the date of hearing which ever is earlier.

The copy of compliance should also be provided by you to the consumer before hearing.

SECRETARY CGRF, MSEDCL, BHANDUP

c.f.w.cs. to:

The Executive Engineer, O&M Dn. MSEDCL, Thane.

-- for needful action for submission of issue wise compliance & at attend the hearing along all the relevant documents related with the grievance.

D: Vidya 2 : Case No. 122