BEFORE THE CONSUMER GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL FORUM AURANGABAD ZONE, AURANGABAD.

Date of Admission. 18.06.2013. Date of decision. 16.07.2013

Case No. CGRF /AZ/Aur/R/453/2013/37

Smt. Subhadrabai Laximanraoji Adsule, **COMPLAINANT**. At.Post Lasurstation Chimajinagar, Tq.Gangpur Dist. Aurangabad.

VERSUS.

Executive Engineer,(Adm.)

Nodal Officer,

O&M Rural Circle,

MSEDCL, AURANGABAD.

CORAM:

Shri V.B.Mantri Chairperson

Shri V.S.Kabra Member.

Shri S.K.Narwade Member/Secretary

REDRESSAL-DECISION.

Heard the complainant in person. The nodal officer Mr. Nitnaware argued. For the respondent. Read the electric bills produced by the complaint. Read the reply submitted by respondent.

It reveals. That the total Load connected to the meter of the complainant is 255 watts. If such Connected load is taken in to account, it would by clear that the maximum consumption in a month would be 162 units. The respondent however issued the bill for 703 units, It is not explained as to how there could be consumption of 703 units for connected Load of 225 watts. In such Circumstances, it is clear that the bill issued for the 703 units is apparently incorrect. The disputed bill issued by the respondent for three month i.e. for the month of August 2012 Sept.2012 & Oct. 2012 are set aside and in its place revised bills for those three months at the rate 162 units be issued. This forum therefore proceeds to pass following redressed Order.

Order

- The disputed bills issued for the month of Aug.2012, Sept.2012 and Oct.
 2012 and bill of final reading of old meter are set aside.
- The respondent MSEDCL Shall in its disputed bills issue revised bill at the rate of 162 units Consumption per month I.e. bill for 162 units for the month of Aug.2012,Sept.2012, and Oct.2012 till the date of replacement of Old meter.
- 3. No D.P.C. Interest shall be charged.
- 4. No Order as to Costs of this petition

Sd/-	Sd/-	Sd/-
(S.K.Narwade.)	(V.S. Kabra.)	(V.B.Mantri.)
Member/Secretary	Member	Chairperson.