CONSUMER GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL FORUM MAHARASHTRA STATE ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION COMPANY LTD.

Old Power House Premises, Dr. Ambedkar Road, Aurangabad. Phone: 0240 - 2336172

No:- CGRF/AZ/AUR/JLN/ 430 / 2013 /14 /

Date :-

To,

01) The Executive Engineer (Administration) Nodal Office, O/O Superintending Engineer, O&M Circle, M.S.E.D.C.L., JALNA.

Sub:- Forwarding of grievance in respect of Shri Shivnarayan Dhanraj Khandelwal, Sarafa Bazar, June Mondha, Tq.Partur Dist.Jalna. (Consumer No. 5240 1000 3112)

Dear Sir,

Please find enclosed herewith a copy of the grievance application received by the Forum, in respect of Shri Shivnarayan Dhanraj Khandelwal, Sarafa Bazar, June Mondha, Tq.Partur Dist.Jalna.

You are requested to redress the grievance or submit Para wise reply for **interim** on 05.03.2013 at 11.30 Hour.

Member/Secretary CGRF(AZ) MSEDCL Aurangabad.

Encl: As above

Shri Shivnarayan Dhanraj Khandelwal, Sarafa Bazar, June Mondha, Tq.Partur Dist.Jalna.

BEFORE THE CONSUMER GRIEVANCE REDRESSAL FORUM (MSEDCL) AURANGABAD ZONE, AURANGABAD.

Case No. CGRF/ AZ/ JLN/ 430/2013/14.

	Date of Filing:	26.022013,.
	Date of Decision	12.03.2013
1.	Mr.Shivnarayan Dhanraj Khandelwal, Sarafa Bazar, Juna Mondha, Tq. Partur. District Jalna. (Consumer Noi.5240 1000 3112) <u>Versus.</u>	<u>COMPLAINANT</u> .

1.The Executive Engineer (Adm.)RESPONDENT.Nodal Officer, O&MUrban Circle, MSEDCL,Jalna.

CORAM :-

- Shri.V.B.Mantri.ChairpersonShriS.K.NarwadeMember/Secretary.ShriV.S.KabraMember.
- The grievance of the complainant is against illegal charging of Rs. 19853/-as an arrears pending against the complainant towards P.D. Charges of former meter.

- The case of the complainant in brief is that, he is consumer bearing consumer No. 524010003112 and meter No. 7611342708. He is regular in making payment of electricity bills.
- 3. It is the case of the complainant that, he has received bill of Rs. 1070/- in the month of July 2012. He paid the bill on 4.10.2012. He then received a bill for the month of August 2012 in which the respondent has shown the sum of Rs. 19853/ /- as arrears.
- 4. It is submitted that the complainant has immediately made representation on19.11.2012against such arrears of the bill however no cognizance has been taken by the respondent regarding the grievance of the complainant made in the representation. The complainant then filed his grievance before the I.G.R.C. at Jalna on 5.12.12, but the I.G.R.C. also failed to pass any order against the complaint.
- 5. The complaint submits that he is not in arrears of any bill. The arrears so shown by the respondent is illegal and such the same should be set aside. Hence the complaint.
- 6. The respondent has filed the reply to the complaint and thereby submitted that, there was an old electric connection in the name of the present complainant and in the same premises bearing

The No. 52401000312. said connection was consumer disconnected permanently on account of non payment of the electricity charges. The complainant was in arrears of Rs. 19853 at the time of disconnection. The arrears of the bill were charge on the building on which the complainant has taken new connection suppressing the fact of former connection. These arrears are as such recoverable under Rule 10.5 of Supply Code Regulation 2005. The P.D. arrears of old consumer meter in the same name and in the same premises are transferred to the new meter. The present complaint has been filed to evade the electricity bills to which he is in arrears. The complaint should be therefore dismissed.

- This forum has heard the submissions of Mr. Kapadiya for the complainant and submissions so made by the Nodal Officer on behalf of the respondent.
- 8. On hearing the submissions, and considering the pleadings of the parties, the following points arise for our determinations and our findings are as follows:-

9. <u>POINTS</u>

FINDINGS

- a. Whether the respondent is entitled No. to recover the alleged arrears.
- b. Whether the respondent is right in No. incorporating the arrears of Rs. 19853/-in the bill of August August 2012.

C What redressal and order? As follows.

<u>REASONS.</u>

- 10. There is no dispute that the present complainant was holding old electricity connection in the same name and in the same premises bearing consumer No. 524010001578. It is the case of the respondent that the said connection was disconnected permanently for non payment of the out sanding the bills. It has been submitted that the complainant was in arrears of Rs. 19853/- at the time of disconnection. It is argued that the arrears are charge on the building and therefore the present complainant is liable to make payment. In support of the said submissions the Nodal Officer has relied upon the Regulation NO. 10.5 and on the judgment of Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay in Namco Industries Pvt. Ltd. v/s MSEDCL DELIVERED IN w.p.9906 OF 2010.
- 11.Mr. Kapadiya for the complainant on the other hand submitted that such arrears were never shown in any former bills for the past two

years and therefore the alleged arrears are not recoverable by virtue of Sec. 56(2) of the Act.

For ready reference Section 56(2) of Electricity Act read as follows:-

"Notwithstanding anything contained in any other law for the time" being in force, no sum due from any consumer, under this section shall be recoverable after the period of two years from the date when such sum became first due unless such sum has been shown continuously as recoverable as arrear of charges for electricity supplied and licensee shall not cut off the supply of the electricity." In the present case, it is neither pleaded nor submitted, as to when the former connection was disconnected permanently. The respondent did not produce any document to show that the complainant in fact was in arrears of bill for Rs. 19853NO document has been produced to show that the respondent has ever claimed such arrears prior to August 2012. There is no submissions as to how then the disputed sum is recoverable and the said sum is within Limitation which can be recovered. The above Section 56(2) of the Act as such is squarely applicable to the present case. Hence applying the provision of Section 56(2) of the Act, this Forum is of the opinion that the said sum of Rs. 19853/- is not recoverable. The so called arrears of Rs. 19853/- should be therefore set aside. The respondent should issue revised bill deducting the disputed arrears of Rs. 19853/- The grievance of the complainant is allowed. The forum therefore proceeds to pass following order.

<u>O R D E R.</u>

- 1. The grievance of the complainant is hereby allowed.
- The respondent should deduct the disputed arrears of Rs.
 19853/-from the bills.
- The respondent shall issue revised bill by deducting the disputed arrears of Rs. 19853/-
- 4. No order as to costs.

Sd/-(V.S .Kabra) Member Sd/-(S.K.Narwade) Member/Secretary Sd/-(V.B. Mantri) Chairperson Old Power House Premises, Dr. Ambedkar Road, Aurangabad. Phone: 0240 - 2336172

No. CGRF/AZ/Aur/JLN/430/2013/14

Date :-

To,

 01) Mr.Shivnarayan Dhanraj Khandelwal .
 Sarafa Bazar, Juna Mondha, Tq. Partur. District Jalna. (Consumer No. 5240 10003112)

V/s

02) The Executive Engineer,(Admn.) Nodal Office , O&M Circle, M.S.E.D.C.L., JALNA

Sub:- Grievance in Case No. CGRF/AZ/JLN/ 430/2012/14

Please find enclosed herewith a copy of the order passed by the Forum in the case mentioned above. The consumer, if not satisfied with the decision of the Forum, is at liberty to make a representation to the Electricity Ombudsman, the contact details of whom is as under, within a period of 60 days from the date of this order.

Encl: As above

Copy submitted with respect to:-The Chief Engineer(AZ) MSEDCL, Aurangabad.

Contact details of: The Electricity Ombudsman, Plot No.12, Shrikrupa, Vijaynagar, Chhaoni, Nagpur – 440 013 Phone No.(Office) (0712) 20 22 198 E-mail – cgrfnz@gmail.in Member/Secretary, CGRF(AZ) MSEDCL, Aurangabad